

## Appendix 4 - Consultation Responses/Petitions

### Consultation Responses:

I have lived in this area all my life and it has changed for the worse not the better you keep building these places with no thought of the dentists, schools, doctors, we are over run with people and flats you build on every bit of empty space you all should be ashamed of yourselves you don't live in this area and probably never will as it is now a horrible place to live this is why all the local people move away you have taken all the decent shops away B and M which should never of gone is lying empty all of you are disgusting people to what you have done to my area my grandparents would be turning in their graves with the state of the place they grew up in go and build these flats where you live see how you like it buildings on every bit of land no it won't happen will it because you would stop it 😞

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: <https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/proposed-appropriation-west-drayton>

I wish to express my vehement objection to the planned appropriation of the land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton, UB7 8PE. This land, steeped in history, has long been a valuable resource to our local community.

The forthcoming Crossrail development appears to have stirred ambitions in the council to maximise the use of our local land for new housing projects. These plans would inevitably impose additional burdens on our community. Our health care facilities, emergency services, utilities, and amenities are already stretched thin; these developments would bring an influx of residents placing further demands on these essential services. The existing residents are already struggling to access these strained resources.

Furthermore, the proposed overdevelopment would also severely strain our local infrastructure, particularly our roads and the single train station in our area. The impact would not just be limited to congestion but also would take a significant toll on the quality of life for existing residents.

Being a resident of West Drayton/Yiewsley since 2015, I have personally witnessed the negative impact of continuous development over the past eight years. Despite promises of improvements, the overzealous drive to construct as many homes as possible has primarily led to adverse effects. A salient example can be found on page 13 of the 'Places and Spaces Urban Realm on the Crossrail Route' book, where the council's failure to construct a bridge across the canal is glaringly evident, yet associated housing projects proceeded without any hindrance.

The repercussions of these developments are evident in the increasing levels of traffic congestion, reduced availability of medical appointments and diminishing time spent with physicians when finally seen.

Moreover, there is a conspicuous absence of investment directed towards our youth. While I acknowledge the construction of a leisure centre in West Drayton, it seems Yiewsley has been largely overlooked. The Morrisons on the high street remains shut, in a state of uncertainty, and other buildings are left vacant. Yet the council appears eager to pursue housing projects without adequately considering necessary infrastructure upgrades.

Our local green spaces and recreational areas, deeply integral to the identity and heritage of Yiewsley - which was once a woodland clearing known by the name of a man, Eowils, in Old English - are under threat. This relentless pursuit of opportunistic overdevelopment, ignoring the fundamental principles and consequences for the local residents, is alarming.

Instead of seeking to exploit these spaces for profit, I urge the council to refocus its efforts towards serving the people of Yiewsley. These lands should be used to foster support for our youth and provide facilities that benefit the community. I urge the council to divert their attention from incessant housing projects and consider the true needs of Yiewsley - reinvigorating our

community and breathing new life into our local area.

If you must, and I imagine will, regardless of our views which are part of a pro forma or 'token consultation', build homes there, you must err toward keep the numbers on the lower end of the scale, if at all.

Please acknowledge receipt of this objection.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir,

I have read and considered the documents your Department provided copies of at Yiewsley Library this morning and wish to register my formal objection to this proposal as it cannot possibly be argued that this would in any way be likely to contribute to achieving the promotion or improvement of the economic, social or environmental wellbeing of the whole or any part of the area.

The Council should develop and maintain the space for the purpose of recreation for the Yiewsley population as specified in the restrictive covenants and statutory trust as was intended and the Council since the closure of the swimming pool has not delivered on. This rare, valuable open space in the area is very actively used by the population in the district and the Council should restore the old swimming pool site for the recreational use of the population.

Yours sincerely,

To Whom It May Concern,

I am once again saddened by the councils illegal proposed appropriation of community land for housing on the Otterfield Road site. It is illegal to take this land away from the community, why does the council insist on attempting to procure the land illegally from the community and use it for profit?

I understand the new plans for the site have not yet been published but any plans involving anything other than a community use building should not be allowed. The land, as the council knows, has a covenant on restricting use for community only. Anything else built on this land is illegal.

It is also incredibly saddening that the council have not letter dropped the local residents who will be directly impacted by this plan to get their opinion on the proposed appropriation. Only doing what is legally required by adding a notice into the West London Gazette is sneaky as they know the local residents will not all see this and therefore miss the deadline for comment.

There are many housing projects planned in the local area - including new plans at the local garage at the top of the park on Falling Lane. Changing the use of the land on Otterfield Road is not only unnecessary but will cause a large negative impact in the local area. The council will remember all the reasons the local residents listed previously as to why the land should be kept for the community, some of which I will repeat below:

- Its illegal to change the use from community use only.
- We need a local community area that is connected to the park for the benefit of the local area and schools.
- Overshadowing the park with a high rise building will not only make the current park less enjoyable to be in but will also not fit with the local area as it will back up to and overshadow a victorian semi detached road. You will be infringing on the local residents privacy without even consulting them!
- Traffic Issues - there are current traffic issues on Otterfield Road and Fairfield Road due to there being too many parked cars as well as too much traffic passing through the narrow streets. Adding houses into this area (with less than enough parking spaces for at least 2 per house) is terrible planning. I remember the previous plan didnt even have 1 car parking space per flat! Trying to say the reason for inadequate planned parking is to encourage people to not own cars is naive and lazy; the council know better and they know the negative impact this short sighted decision would have on the local area. It's difficult enough to park on our road as residents. Building more houses would not only increase traffic jams but would also stop the local residents from being able to park.
- Pollution - with such few easily accessible, safe and open green spaces, why would you want to add more traffic and pollution.

It is disgraceful that the council is once again trying to overturn a legal covenant protecting the land - the fact that you are doing it in such a covert manner to try and avoid the backlash you had when previously trying to do this from local residents is shocking. Ive copied in the "My London" in the hopes that they will cover this abhorrent council behaviour.

Even in your statement the following clause is written:

- 3.1 The Council intend to appropriate the Site because they intend to develop the Site to provide housing and community uses. The Council needs to appropriate the Site first because there are a number of restrictive covenants and a statutory trust which currently bind the Site which restrict this type of development

Your last plan for the site: the erection of a 6-storey building, comprising 50 dwellings, with 25 car parking spaces (23 for residential and 2 for library users)

I do hope the council rethink this planned appropriation for the sake of the future of the local community.

Regards,

Dear Capital Programme Works Service,

I have some objections to the proposed appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton UB7 8PE. These objections can be divided in to two broad points.

1) The appropriation application is not connected with an explicit planning outline as was previously the case, therefore it is impossible to provide an informed response to the application as a member of the public.

There were two previous planning applications for the site the most recent of which showed the Council had intentions of extreme levels of over-development of the site, but these proposals (Planning Application 76795/APP/2021/3704) were withdrawn on 1st August 2022. The Appropriation Application does not state whether or not these plans will be resubmitted, abandoned or adapted. The Council appears to be simply engaging in a process to override the restrictive covenants in place for the former swimming pool site while making no guarantees that the requirements or even the spirit of those covenants will be respected.

I submit that it is unacceptable to seek appropriation without a transparent statement from the council regarding their intentions for the site in regard to i) The use of the swimming pool site for a purpose 'for the benefit of the residents' in line with the letter and spirit of the covenants and ii) the intensity of the proposed build, especially with regard to the number of storeys proposed.

2) The lack of amenities of the local area relative to the amount of development it has experienced, despite being subject to one of the highest rates of housing development in the Borough over the last ten years, leading to the deterioration of the economic, social or environmental wellbeing of the area. This is contrary to the requirements of an appropriation application as this application is likely to worsen the situation.

There is a serious lack of development of infrastructure and amenities to support the building of housing in the area which has led to a reduction in the quality of life for local residents in the form of pressure on services, congestion and overcrowding. For example:

- i) Schools: no new schools have been build in the past 10 years. Some schools have been enlarged or developed but this was often needed anyway.
- ii) Health Care: the same two GP practices serve the locality that have been here for the last thirty years – they have not significantly increased in capacity. The single large dentist practice has recently become private only, removing the previous availability of local NHS dentistry.
- iii) Roads: the length of the main roads, the High Street and Station Road experience regular long traffic jams at peak times and the duration of these peaks are increasing.
- iv) Rail: the much vaunted Elizabeth Line is massively congested at peak times, is unreliable due to repeated mechanical failures or lack of personnel and can run as low as one train an hour, as TfL are more interested in serving Heathrow or going direct to Reading.
- v) Buses: uses are overcrowded, particularly the 222 Uxbridge to Hounslow and limited by the congestion on the High Street and Station Road.
- vi) Crime: there is no visible police presence on foot in Yiewsley ever.
- vii) Retail Provision: we have recently lost our Argos and Currys stores: B&M left their Cowley Road store last year and the site has been derelict since then. A particular loss was the closure of the Morrisons store, nominally for redevelopment but this seems to be proceeding very slowly

and has left the Tesco store on Trout Road both without competition or capacity to provide reliable supplies of food. Smaller stores have arisen to try and fill the gap but they tend to lack range or quality and can be more expensive than the big name stores.

In contrast, the building of high rise intensive housing continues apace: Trout Road (six storeys) and the Morrisons site (140 housing units) are actively being built with planning has been approved in the last few weeks for 100 housing units on Tavistock Road to add to the massive estate already there.

This all means that further housing developments are not viable within the Yiewsley town area and any Appropriation of the Otterfield Road and Falling Lane site must be for the purpose of alleviating these problems, otherwise it will be in breach of the legal and moral requirement to 'promote the improvement of the economic, social or environmental wellbeing' of the area.

Regards,

----- Forwarded message -----

F>

To: "" <yiewsleyappropriation@hillingdon.gov.uk>

Cc: ">

Sent: Sat, 3 Jun 2023 at 1:24

Subject: Objection to the intended appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton, UB7 8PE

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: <https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/proposed-appropriation-west-drayton>

I wish to express my vehement objection to the planned appropriation of the land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton, UB7 8PE. This land, steeped in history, has long been a valuable resource to our local community.

The forthcoming Crossrail development appears to have stirred ambitions in the council to maximise the use of our local land for new housing projects. These plans would inevitably impose additional burdens on our community. Our health care facilities, emergency services, utilities, and amenities are already stretched thin; these developments would bring an influx of residents placing further demands on these essential services. The existing residents are already struggling to access these strained resources.

Furthermore, the proposed overdevelopment would also severely strain our local infrastructure, particularly our roads and the single train station in our area. The impact would not just be limited to congestion but also would take a significant toll on the quality of life for existing residents.

Being a resident of West Drayton/Yiewsley since 2015, I have personally witnessed the negative impact of continuous development over the past eight years. Despite promises of improvements, the overzealous drive to construct as many homes as possible has primarily led to adverse effects. A salient example can be found on page 13 of the 'Places and Spaces Urban Realm on the Crossrail Route' book, where the council's failure to construct a bridge across the canal is glaringly evident, yet associated housing projects proceeded without any hindrance.

The repercussions of these developments are evident in the increasing levels of traffic congestion, reduced availability of medical appointments and diminishing time spent with physicians when finally seen.

Moreover, there is a conspicuous absence of investment directed towards our youth. While I acknowledge the construction of a leisure centre in West Drayton, it seems Yiewsley has been largely overlooked. The Morrisons on the high street remains shut, in a state of uncertainty, and other buildings are left vacant. Yet the council appears eager to pursue housing projects without adequately considering necessary infrastructure upgrades.

Our local green spaces and recreational areas, deeply integral to the identity and heritage of

Yiewsley - which was once a woodland clearing known by the name of a man, Eowils, in Old English - are under threat. This relentless pursuit of opportunistic overdevelopment, ignoring the fundamental principles and consequences for the local residents, is alarming.

Instead of seeking to exploit these spaces for profit, I urge the council to refocus its efforts towards serving the people of Yiewsley. These lands should be used to foster support for our youth and provide facilities that benefit the community. I urge the council to divert their attention from incessant housing projects and consider the true needs of Yiewsley - reinvigorating our community and breathing new life into our local area.

If you must, and I imagine will, regardless of our views which are part of a pro forma or 'token consultation', build homes there, you must err toward keep the numbers on the lower end of the scale, if at all.

Please acknowledge receipt of this objection.

Sincerely,

I AM TOTALLY AGAINST THIS DEVELOPEMENT WE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH SERVICES TO COPE WITH THIS AMOUNT OF PROPERTIES NOT ENOUGH DOCTORS ETC PLUS IT IS VIRTUALLY THE ONLY GREEN SPACE LEFT IN YIEWSLEY ITS NOT FAIR ON THE RESIDANT THAT LIVE IN THIS AREA ALREAD

We have enough new building in the area. No more doctors dentists schools. The area is at breaking point. You just keep selling of land in the area. This is a communal space for local people which you are running into the ground so you can sell it. 9n those grounds i oppose this.

Sent from Outlook for Android

I object most strongly to this proposal. This land was as you already know GIVEN to the people of yiewsley and west Drayton. The people want an open space for their children, not more high rise flats ect. So think again consider the children who live in yiewsley and west Drayton

Sent from my iPhone

Again I oppose the building of yet more flat in Yiewsley especially when those flat will be built in the only park that is in Yiewsley. Stockley park is not Yiewsley.

There already is an agreement that flat will be built on the present FLC car sales site.

The old swimming pool site should be used to benefit the population of Yiewsley i.e. the medical centre that should have been agreed, with some sort community centre.

I hope you are well.

We run a website <https://yiewsley.org> and we are deeply concerned about the Notice of Appropriation issued by Hillingdon Council on 31st May 2023.

There was an Invitation to look at Plans for the proposed building of flats on Yiewsley Library and the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site held on Thursday 6th October 2022, between 3.30pm - 7.30 pm.

Please can you provide me with the following:

1. The number of consultees who attended the consultation event.
2. A summary of the consultees' views on the proposed redevelopment, including how many people were in favour of the proposal and how many people were against the proposal.
3. A list of meetings at which the results of the consultation were discussed.
4. Who paid for the costs of hiring the library.
5. How much the organisation who hired the library paid for the space.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

<https://yiewsley.org>

**EMAIL 1: 17/6/23**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I vehemently object to the plans you are trying to force through on land that was bequeathed for an open space for public use and recreation for the residents of Yiewsley.

This land was a gift to the peoples of Yiewsley and is not for development or for any other use.

Kind Regards

**EMAIL 2: 19/6/23**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please see the Subject Line to accept this as an objection to Hillingdon Council regarding the appropriation of Covenanted Land in Yiewsley.

Kind Regards

I most strongly object to the action of LBH to appropriate land which the swimming pool was on 13 years ago.

It is LBH who has left this land without a health centre or facility of any kind for 13 years – LBH has already FAILED the people of Yiewsley and West Drayton,

The land in question belongs to the people for all time.

Not voting for the present party as it continues to ignore the residents.

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: Objection to the Appropriation of Yiewsley Recreation Grounds

I am taking the time out of my busy schedule to write to you with my objections to the planned appropriation of the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds. I have many objections as to why I feel that this should not go ahead. These are as follows:

1. The historical value and importance that the Yiewsley Recreation Ground and former Swimming Pool site have.

Both these sites belong to the residents of Yiewsley! Covenanted to them for leisure and recreational purposes, this Covenant dates back to the 1930s or thereabouts. This land was acquired by local businesses and residents and a covenant was issued to protect it. The space should therefore be used for the sole benefits of existing Yiewsley residents!

In line with the Covenant on the site it should therefore be used as a community space - a swimming pool (formerly promised), a health centre (formerly promised), a gym/fitness space (formerly promised), community centre for the youth and/or elderly. Anything that will benefit the local residents. All the leisure spaces and sites are far away for most residents, so something for the local residents to use would be of far more benefit.

You only have to go to the park after schools' finish to see how much it is used both in the afternoons, evenings and weekends.

2. The impact on local residents will be huge

I bought my property in 2005 and used and have continued to use the facilities since. I appreciate and love this area and so do many of the residents. It seems to be that it's the Council that doesn't - erecting development after development of flats. What's interesting is that all of these developments also seem to be South of the borough. Surely there should be an even split across the Borough for developments, planned, proposed and approved. Yiewsley residents voices and feelings need to be heard and at present they are not!

This space was left by former Yiewsley residents for the residents and entrusted to the Council, the Council is therefore clearly going against the wishes of the donors and should rethink approving this. The purpose of leaving it to residents was to provide a space for them for leisure purposes, it is used regularly by schools, children centres, elderly, fitness and leisure.

3. The lack of investment into public facilities

With all the flats and developments coming up in the area spaces like this are needed more and more, as people require the outdoor open spaces more and more for the mental health and wellbeing. The space at present is used by so many: to have a jog/run or stroll during the day, to walk their dogs, to get some fresh air, to take children out to play, to have a garden/outdoor space to use if already living in cramped/congested conditions etc. Research has shown that depression and anxiety increases being in confined spaces which many of the residents currently live in.

This space should therefore if anything be invested in and not appropriated for other use/s.

Whoever makes a decision to overturn this covenant and go against the original benefactors



wishes, good luck to you! As I have said before I believe in 'karma' and to those individuals making these decisions, I would say make them wisely, as I am sure going against the original benefactors wishes, will have them turning in their graves.

With best wishes,

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: Objection to the Appropriation of Yiewsley Recreation Grounds

Hello,

I am writing to express my objections to the proposed appropriation of the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds. As a resident of Yiewsley, I have several reasons why I believe this should not go ahead.

Firstly, the Yiewsley Recreation Ground and former Swimming Pool site hold historical value and importance to the residents. This land was acquired by local businesses and residents, and a covenant was issued to protect it for leisure and recreational purposes. Therefore, it should only be used for the benefit of the existing Yiewsley residents.

In line with the covenant, the site should be used as a community space for the residents. This could include a swimming pool, health centre, gym/fitness space, or a community center for the youth and elderly. These amenities would benefit the local residents as all the leisure spaces and sites are far away for most residents.

The impact on local residents would be significant if the appropriation goes ahead. The Yiewsley Recreation Grounds are regularly used by many residents for various activities. The lack of investment in public facilities in the area makes spaces like this even more necessary for mental health and well-being.

Moreover, Yiewsley residents' voices and feelings need to be heard, and at present, they are not. The Council should rethink approving the appropriation as this space was left by former Yiewsley residents for the residents and entrusted to the Council. The purpose of leaving it to residents was to provide a space for them for leisure purposes, which it currently serves.

Therefore, I urge the Council to invest in this space instead of appropriating it for other uses.

We have become aware of a decision to revoke the covenant and disregard the wishes of the original donors. We strongly oppose this decision and may resort to legal action to prevent the council from taking what is not rightfully theirs. As elected officials, it is your duty to represent the interests of the borough and its residents. We urge you to prioritize public spaces over constructing more housing units, as our area is already crowded. We require better amenities, such as a Marks and Spencer or a Waitrose, instead of numerous off-licenses, nail salons, mobile phone stores, and hairdressers. We have a new station, but the coffee shop is too small; we need to enhance the offerings in our area. We want better business offerings such as Pret A Manger or Starbucks, but we demand a better standard for our area and expect you to deliver. We are tired of this situation and demand that you take action to improve our high street. If you fail to fulfil your promises, we will not hesitate to vote for someone else.

Dear Councillor,

I would like to make clear my objection to your plans to "appropriate" the sites at Yiewsley Library and the site of the former swimming pool in the strongest possible terms.

As I am sure you know, the Yiewsley swimming pool (which I used a lot and campaigned to keep) was closed on the pretext of building a health centre. What happened to that?

Healthy exercise for everyone (swimming and parks) is the best way to combat obesity which is costly for the NHS. Education is the basis of a prosperous society. Many writers, actors and academics say that their curiosity in learning was ignited by their local library. You should know that I and many other people use the library for computers and printing and that the library staff are extremely helpful and I am sure go beyond their duty in helping people with computer difficulties.

Please explain why this apparently short sighted and deeply cynical development is even being contemplated especially as it is, if not illegal, clearly against the spirit of covenant.

Who stands to benefit financially? Are you collectively so lacking in imagination that this is the kind of country you really want?

Yours faithfully

Dear Sir /Madam,

I understand that the council intend to appropriate the two Yiewsley Park Covenants of land. One situated where the library is positioned and the old swimming Pool land. We do not want the land appropriated for building high rise flats, we want the land to remain for the people of Yiewsley as originally stated in the covenant for recreational purposes.

I have lived in Yiewsley for 36 years and have used all the facilities, library, swimming pool, park. I have been so saddened by the decline and lack of interest the Council have taken for our local surroundings. I have seen other areas in the North of the borough with beautiful spaces created for the enjoyment of the local people.

We have next to nothing and I am quite disgusted that we are being overburdened with high rise flats with no consideration for the people living in them. There are no new facilities to accommodate all these new people living in the area.

No New Schools, An NHS system that is bursting at the seams there doesn't seem to be any consideration for us in Yiewsley. Please can you let us know what plans you have to build the infrastructure needed to support the ever growing population of Yiewsley and West Drayton

We have an amazing community of people who want to see the land returned to a space for the community. It has already been outlined that open spaces are so important to help with the growing concerns over mental health, the pandemic has created even more reason to look after our community of people.

We have so many drug and alcohol problems and placing people into high rise flats will only exacerbate the problem creating isolation and losing the community feeling.

We would like the Council to consider a vision of a future that will bring the community together like we used to have.

A beautiful space for the local people is what we need. A place for everyone to meet have a cafe,

reading space , chess area, community growing space whatever the people want. There are so many ideas that have been shared amongst our friends and people in the community to create a new social green space. This could be the first and would be an amazing template for the rest of the country providing a much needed pleasure garden area for everyone.

Little by little each small space has been taken and neglected and left to rot. We really would like to have our land returned and created into a positive experience.

Creating the proposed options for the high rise Flats will bring about a lot of stress, mental health and will not benefit the community in any way. Speaking to neighbours and friends about this has caused much anguish in the community.

If you have driven down Otterfield Road you may have experience the traffic Jams that are a regular occurrence. The Car Park is already full up, taking additional spaces for the new residents will put pressure on the doctors and will reduce the foot fall for local businesses as people will decline in their visit to the town. We are already under served with parking spaces in this areas for the local businesses.

The additional pollution created from the building proposals. I am sure many children will be suffering as a result of cement dust, building dust created all around the park. plus all the extra fumes from the lorries. I have seen the devastation caused from the building site in Royal lane. How will you protect our children in the park during the building process of these developments. Will you be monitoring the pollution levels and halt any works should it be excessive and exceed legal levels?

These are only a few of the objections I have listed, there are so many reasons not to proceed with the appropriation and I would like to ask you to look at your conscience of what the impact will be to not just 1 person but to many in the community.

Is the council willing to consider this action? I feel as a resident the people are not being put first we are being put last.

I do not approve of the the appropriation of the land and I feel I have the right to object as a Yiewsley Resident of 36 years.

I object to the development on the Yiewsley covenants as the flats will overlook children playing in the park I feel this is not appropriate.

The proposed building will cast a shadow across the grounds.

It will affect the value of my property

Please find below some research on High Rise flats and social Care impact of residents.

<https://www.mdpi.com/2078-1547/10/2/34/htm>.

My Questions are as follows

What new facilities are you proposing to support the additional people living in the area of Yiewsley?

Is there a plan for more schools, doctors, health care these are already over subscribed?

What do you propose to do for local people providing computer access when the library is demolished a service used by hundreds of people ? As the library wont be available until a new one is built.

Students use the library to study as a quiet space, when they live in a noisy and busy home what replacement are you offering? As we will be without a library service until a new one is built.

What are you plans to reduce the lorries and disruption in Otterfield Road during the building proposal as it is already a busy and congested road and quite often comes to a stand still?

How do you propose to support with more parking spaces to access the local shops, park, children's playgroups, schools, while the building work is going on? ie where are the builders going to park?

The noise and disruption to the area during building will be distressing and especially for people in the park and working from home in the nearby area. Do you propose to limit the working times to overcome this noise pollution if this goes ahead?

What do you propose to do about disabled access to the park? Wheelchairs users use the Car Park by the Library to access the park safely.

Can we be assured that building works would be stopped if pollution and noise levels were increased above legal limits?

How many proposed homes will be discounted to be made affordable for people on low incomes living in Yiewsley?

What are your proposed financial offers to sell these homes to local people?

How will this development create a better living experience and benefit the people of Yiewsley?

I look forward to your response to my questions.

With kind regards,

1. YIEWSLEY is one of the most dangerous towns to live in the borough
2. Too many flats already and you're still building down tavistock and trout road!
3. No gardens for flat tenants
4. Too much crime already in area
5. Too contested/polluted
6. No new doctors or dentists
7. Too much traffic
8. Build in other areas, Northwood, Ruislip, Ickenham.
9. keep having electric outages in area.
10. We need parks for a place play to socialise, play and meet with friends. Walk out dogs.

Kindest Regards

I do not want Hillingdon Council to take community owned land in Yiewsley, particularly the Yiewsley Park and library area, and build flats on it. I do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. I do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site. I object to all current applications by Hillingdon Council to build on that area of land. My reasons for objection include:

- The junction at the library is already very congested - yet more flats will bring yet more cars to the junction. There is a large body of evidence on the long and short term respiratory damage caused by traffic fumes of idling cars. If the council pursues building flats at this intersection, this damage would intentionally be inflicted on schoolchildren in the nearby school and at the school pedestrian crossing near the library and Yiewsley Park. Hillingdon Council should take note of the role of Lewisham council played in the death of Ella Adoo-Kissi-Debrah due to polluted air.  
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-london-56801794>  
<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/nov/30/air-pollution-girl-died-london-should-have-treated-emergency-ella-kissi-debrah>

- The land around Yiewsley Park was protected by covenant during its sale, and intended for future generations to enjoy open, green space. William Knowles conveyed by Covenant (a legal agreement and promise that is held into the future), worded specifically: 'the whole of the grounds forever to remain recreational' .. "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds" in 1926. To sell the land to developers is to break the law's intention.

- Residents have objected repeatedly, across multiple forums (change.org, in person, by email, at council meetings) to the appropriation of the land protected by covenant. Each time the objections are upheld, the council repeat the planning application. This indicates to me a lack of awareness of, or respect for, needs for residents. It is intimidating and exhausting for residents like myself. The council are creating anxiety in residents including myself that the council are using their size, and familiarity with bureaucracy, to wear down residents by re-lodging applications. The applicant (council) are using state funds to push internal agendas, and are using their superior power, staffing and wealth to do so - this is not a healthy relationship, and any application that succeeds on this basis should be considered legally and morally unsound.

- "Individuals have less mental distress, less anxiety and depression, greater wellbeing and healthier cortisol profiles when living in urban areas with more greenspace compared with less greenspace. Large differences in disease prevalence are reported when comparing residents of very green and less green settings"

We are in a national crisis of poor mental health, particularly following Covid, combined with unrelenting pressure on the local health services. Yiewsley is one of the poorest socioeconomic boroughs in London, adjacent to high polluters such as Heathrow airport, M4 and M25. Removing green spaces in this context as a strategy would deliberately and intentionally increase poor mental and physical health across the area, both for residents, and the health services trying to support them. How can this be a policy that Hillingdon Council endorse while at the same time demanding council tax payments from these same residents?

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5663018/>  
<https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg24933270-800-green-spaces-arent-just-for-nature-they-boost-our-mental-health-too/>  
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-87675-0>

- Hillingdon would be destroying its own reputation as a green borough if it builds yet more flats in Yiewsley. Since the flat building outbreak started, the average price of rental has rocketed to be similar to inner city prices (£1600 minimum), long term local residents are being priced out, so many flats are empty and there are no local services being built for the ones that are already in use. We regularly see trucks trying to clear blocked waste pipes as the flats have been jammed in on top of existing Victorian sewage systems, not designed for the sudden rash of skyscrapers. Protecting the covenanted land would make articles like the below more impactful and show a genuine commitment to underfunded, poor and traditionally voiceless areas of the borough such as Yiewsley.

<https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/article/8367/Hillingdon-judged-again-to-have-the-most-top-class-parks-in-the-country>

- Biodiversity supports ecosystem services mitigating heat, noise and air pollution, e.g. from Heathrow airport and local traffic congestion. At a time when climate change and biodiversity loss is at the top of the global and national agenda, how can Hillingdon Council justify SPEEDING UP biodiversity loss in the Yiewsley area?

The UK is one of the world's most nature-depleted countries - in the bottom 10% globally. Yiewsley and West Drayton are amongst the most polluted areas in the borough, with Heathrow and motorways encircling it. Deliberately putting flats on one of the last few areas of biodiversity in the area is a criminal act and will speed up the negative impacts of climate change on local residents, local plant, animal and insect ecosystems, and ultimately increase associated deaths and poor health in the area.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-58859105>

- Hillingdon Council should consider the growing movement of "rights for nature", where councils and governments recognise the rights of nature to exist in its own personhood, and criminalise the same acts against land as against people. The council will find itself on the wrong side of the law, not to mention history, by intentionally selling land it a) does not own outside the covenant and b) selling that land as property, to be destroyed by developers.

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/jul/17/laws-of-nature-could-uk-rivers-be-given-same-rights-as-people-aoe>

<https://www.garn.org/>

- There is already a lack of light (due to skyscraper style flat building, out of character with the surroundings) and lack of green space for local residents in the area. There are over large housing developments and associated traffic congestion on tiny roads already in adjacent roads like Trout Road and Winnock Road.

Hello,

I am a resident of Yiewsley and would like to object to the proposed development. I can understand that development is good but we can see there is an overdevelopment of flats going on in west drayton and Yiewsley. Approvals were granted for the rebuild of Morrisons into flats, FLC car sale land and old B&M site. There are already a lot of flats in west Drayton and Yiewsley. The council should consider that there is already a lack of services like GP facilities, dentist surgeries, leisure facilities, school places, and other infrastructure/services. The crime rate in Yiewsley has gone up. If the land was available, why was the leisure centre built in another part of west drayton?

Has the council considered how they will handle the traffic load on high street post-building flats?

We have not even seen any results of the consultation that happened previously in Yiewsley Library. Were there any plan changes post the consultation in Yiewsley Library?

Regards,

My objections are the same as was put on the papers you left at the poor display of planned appropriation and development of the sites back in October 2022 which you have failed to make others aware of.

1. You have failed to give proper public notice as required under the statutes which now regulate such land in line with the CA case of R (on the application of Day) (Appellant) v. Shropshire Council (Respondents). I have seen no display of the Notice required in public places such as the library and highway posts in front or the fences surrounding the car parking area behind the library or anywhere else and neither do there appear to be any public newspapers in Yiewsley area where it could be advertised for 2 consecutive weeks as required.

I got notice as I saw the petitioner's partner when walking on my way to church down Otterfield Road last Sunday morning only!

2. The addition of a large number of flats as was the intention of such poor incomplete plans we saw in October is adverse to the access for already busy Yiewsley High street and Falling Lane, We have had to have traffic lights installed now to cross Falling Lane towards the footpath access I use to get into my former council estate where the Coppice is based.

3. The roads and access already being congested with heavy building lorries from other development works initiated by the councils sell offs of much other land in this area is highly polluted and this will make matters worse.

4. The council has already left abandoned building sites sold off to developers opposite the present library for years since I moved here in 2019, incomplete and being damaged, so called social housing, now area is a slum, also former useful Morrisons store but something wrong with the lease so it lies empty and going derelict, same with large former B & B store and nurseries further down towards Cowley a flood plan so that back fired but is now derelict and an eye sore. I now learned of Hillingdon Hospital Trusts plans passed as opposed to for similar traffic and parking reasons, 327 flats and commercial below with no proper access for parking and all those vehicles along either Royal Lane access partly one way, Colham Road the other side, a busy narrow bus route the other side where the flats are to be, narrow Pield End Road along its present frontage very busy bus route and very narrow and congested and small dangerous roundabout at peak times.

5. There are now totally erodes and zero facilities in Yiewsley, we lost all banks and building societies, shops and other services, the GPS totally inadequate and failing the present population so how to deal with more in these new flats as that is all they can be? Also only small part on the former pool site was to be used to re-build the library.

6. Total waste of public money and land demolish the present library not long ago refurbished, other ones are far a way its a focal point for notices of public interest you just want to keep Yiewsley in the dark!

7. Danger, dust traffic accidents caused by the demolition and re building works.

8. Loss of the present parking behind the present library as local businesses left have no parking only Aldi and Tesco do. Rabbs Farm School and others use part of the parking area now off Falling Lane and users of library have parking but what is intended will not replace this. You are adding hundreds of flats all over the area with zero parking and such traffic congestion, zero policing, just more road traffic crime and other crime!

9. Preservation of pool area and facilities was intended to help local social behaviour for youngsters, sports and so on, keep them out of mischief and healthy for us all and proved so in lockdown, you are just destroying it all by this action and mental health issues with no help are on the rise due to this general trend you are pursuing!

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF MY OBJECTIONS.

THE LACK OF NOTICE HAS PREVENTED ME LODGING AN ADDITIONAL PETITION ON PAPER. IT IS ANOTHER FAIT ACCOMPLI AS USUAL!

This land was dedicated to the community of yiewsley I object to any building on the park



Hello there,

I just signed the petition "Stop Hillingdon Council Taking Land in Yiewsley" and wanted to see if you could help by adding your name.

Our goal is to reach 500 signatures and we need more support. You can read more and sign the petition here:

<https://chng.it/jC9bvYRwZ5>

Thanks!

I strongly object to the council's plan to close Yiewsley library and site of Yiewsley pool to build yet more flats, the local schools are already full to bursting, as I expect doctors surgery are too! I object to breach of covenants and statutory trust for the land, the high road traffic is already chaos most of the time without lorries and building materials being delivered, with all the hundreds of flats already in a very small area, we need a local library and open spaces for those people who have no garden, where is the logic in this plan, I have lived here for over 30 years and we have seen a nice town destroyed by all these flats, congestion and loss of decent shops and services and as for parking anywhere it madness for all residents in the town, I hope some people will see the madness of this idea and listen to residents already struggling with losing this town which has become a ghetto.

This is an objection to Hillingdon Council using the Yiewsley Library site and the Yiewsley swimming pool sites for flats.  
You are breaking the covenant put in place to devote those sites for the purposes of public walks and pleasure grounds.

It is disgraceful that Hillingdon council is even attempting this build.

People require green space and recreation as part of a balanced lifestyle to support crucial mental health and wellness.

Additionally Hillingdon council have only allowed one month in which residents are able to object. All disgraceful actions.

Please have the decency to acknowledge this email.

Object to the appropriation of land Yiewsley

To whom it may concern.

I am objecting to the proposal of flats being built on both areas of Otterfield Road and Falling Lane.

With the increase of dwellings it will put a massive strain on the current resources. Schools, doctor's surgeries and our local hospital. Which are already struggling to keep on top of their targets.

It will also increase traffic in both of the areas especially on Otterfield Road which is already congested with cars with the current residents.

So it's a no from me, we don't need anymore flats within this area.

Kind regards

I would like to formally lodge my objection to Hillingdon Council's Notice of Appropriation of Land on Yiewsley Recreation.

Dear Sir/Madam

Please register my objection to the use of Yiewsley Recreation Ground for anything other than recreational use as stated in the Covenant of 1926.

There is fast becoming less and less green space with more and more houses and flats taking over.

Yours faithfully

EMAIL 1:  
Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find attached my letter objecting to the proposed notice of Appropriation in Yiewsley.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

EMAIL 2:  
Dear Sir/Madam,

As a local resident in Yiewsley I have already submitted my own objection to the proposed Appropriation of land in Yiewsley.

However, I have been so incensed by these proposals that I have also organised a public on-line petition against them on Change.Org, which has to date received 475 signatures.

The petition can be found here: <https://www.change.org/StopYiewsleyLandGrab>

The text of the petition is:

"Hillingdon Council are trying to take community owned land in Yiewsley and build flats on it. We do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. We do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site.

The land was purchased with a covenant which said the land should be held "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds." The land could be used for preparing young people for GCSE Natural History. Or simply as space for local people to run around on. Following Covid, it is vital for mental health to have green spaces. "

It is my intention to submit the details of those who have signed the petition to the Council before the closing time for notice of objections, both electronically and on paper to the council office on Tuesday 27 June 2023. I will use my best endeavours to bring you in a hard copy of the petition by the deadline. If however, I am delayed owing to technical difficulties, please make a reasonable adjustment for me under the Equality Act 2010.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully

<https://www.change.org/StopYiewsleyLandGrab><https://www.change.org/StopYiewsleyLandGrab>  
<https://www.change.org/StopYiewsleyLandGrab><https://www.change.org/StopYiewsleyLandGrab>  
--

Hello

I am a Yiewsley resident and wish to lodge my objection to the library being knocked down to build yet more flats.

There is a perfectly good library situated in an advantageous position and I believe it will be a waste of tax payer money to build a new one.

Also, Yiewsley is becoming congested with the many developments being built over the past 5 yrs and I question the benefit this will bring to residents considering the traffic, noise and pollution that will result from yet more building works.

Kind regards

Otterfield Road, Yiewsley, Middlesex

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find attached my formal objection to the Appropriation of Land at Yiewsley Recreation Ground, Falling Lane, and the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site at Otterfield Road.

Kindly acknowledge receipt.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Yours faithfully,

Hi Sir/Madam,

I Object to the appropriation of Land at Otterfield Road and Falling lane, West Drayton.

We want more green space, and we want Yiewsley Library to continue as a Good Library.

Thanks and regards,

I do not want Hillingdon Council to take community owned land in Yiewsley, particularly the Yiewsley Park and library area, and build flats on it. I do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. I do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site. I object to all current applications by Hillingdon Council to build on that area of land. My reasons for objection include:

- The junction at the library is already very congested - yet more flats will bring yet more cars to the junction. There is a large body of evidence on the long and short term respiratory damage caused by traffic fumes of idling cars. If the council pursues building flats at this intersection, this damage would intentionally be inflicted on schoolchildren in the nearby school and at the school pedestrian crossing near the library and Yiewsley Park. Hillingdon Council should take note of the role of Lewisham council played in the death of Ella Adoo-Kissi-Debrah due to polluted air.

The land around Yiewsley Park was protected by covenant during its sale, and intended for future generations to enjoy open, green space. William Knowles conveyed by Covenant (a legal agreement and promise that is held into the future), worded specifically: 'the whole of the grounds forever to remain recreational' .. "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds" in 1926. To sell the land to developers is to break the law's intention.

- Residents have objected repeatedly, across multiple forums (change.org, in person, by email, at council meetings) to the appropriation of the land protected by covenant. Each time the objections are upheld, the council repeat the planning application. This indicates to me a lack of awareness of, or respect for, needs for residents. It is intimidating and exhausting for residents like myself. The council are creating anxiety in residents including myself that the council are using their size, and familiarity with bureaucracy, to wear down residents by re-lodging applications. The applicant (council) are using state funds to push internal agendas, and are using their superior power, staffing and wealth to do so - this is not a healthy relationship, and any application that succeeds on this basis should be considered legally and morally unsound.

- "Individuals have less mental distress, less anxiety and depression, greater wellbeing and healthier cortisol profiles when living in urban areas with more greenspace compared with less greenspace. Large differences in disease prevalence are reported when comparing residents of very green and less green settings"

We are in a national crisis of poor mental health, particularly following Covid, combined with unrelenting pressure on the local health services. Yiewsley is one of the poorest socioeconomic boroughs in London, adjacent to high polluters such as Heathrow airport, M4 and M25. Removing green spaces in this context as a strategy would deliberately and intentionally increase poor mental and physical health across the area, both for residents, and the health services trying to support them. How can this be a policy that Hillingdon Council endorse while at the same time demanding council tax payments from these same residents?

- Hillingdon would be destroying its own reputation as a green borough if it builds yet more flats in Yiewsley. Since the flat building outbreak started, the average price of rental has rocketed to be similar to inner city prices (£1600 minimum), long term local residents are being priced out, so many flats are empty and there are no local services being built for the ones that are already in use. We regularly see trucks trying to clear blocked waste pipes as the flats have been jammed in on top of existing Victorian sewage systems, not designed for the sudden rash of skyscrapers. Protecting the covenanted land would make articles like the below more impactful and show a

genuine commitment to underfunded, poor and traditionally voiceless areas of the borough such as Yiewsley.

<https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/article/8367/Hillingdon-judged-again-to-have-the-most-top-class-parks-in-the-country>

- Biodiversity supports ecosystem services mitigating heat, noise and air pollution, e.g. from Heathrow airport and local traffic congestion. At a time when climate change and biodiversity loss is at the top of the global and national agenda, how can Hillingdon Council justify SPEEDING UP biodiversity loss in the Yiewsley area?

The UK is one of the world's most nature-depleted countries - in the bottom 10% globally. Yiewsley and West Drayton are amongst the most polluted areas in the borough, with Heathrow and motorways encircling it. Deliberately putting flats on one of the last few areas of biodiversity in the area is a criminal act and will speed up the negative impacts of climate change on local residents, local plant, animal and insect ecosystems, and ultimately increase associated deaths and poor health in the area.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-58859105>

- Hillingdon Council should consider the growing movement of "rights for nature", where councils and governments recognise the rights of nature to exist in its own personhood, and criminalise the same acts against land as against people. The council will find itself on the wrong side of the law, not to mention history, by intentionally selling land it a) does not own outside the covenant and b) selling that land as property, to be destroyed by developers.

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/jul/17/laws-of-nature-could-uk-rivers-be-given-same-rights-as-people-aoe>

<https://www.garn.org/>

- There is already a lack of light (due to skyscraper style flat building, out of character with the surroundings) and lack of green space for local residents in the area. There are over large housing developments and associated traffic congestion on tiny roads already in adjacent roads like Trout Road and Winnock Road.

Yours faithfully

As a Labour Councillor for West Drayton I have been contacted by so many of my residents who are asking me to be their voice. They ask me to say that the land being earmarked for flats on the old swimming pool site Yiewsley belongs to the people of Yiewsley, given to them by a resident who wanted it to be used forever and a day as a place of recreation and leisure and not to be used for any other reason.

The merit of their objection and mine is that Yiewsley is already in significant deficit in respect to adequate green spaces, and with developments already in train, the local population will grow and grow, so green spaces will continue to be at a premium. I ask the Council to reconsider their development of this land and put Yiewsley residents FIRST.

To whom it may concern,

I am emailing to object the appropriation of land on Yiewsley swimming pool site.

The land on which the old swimming pool was built was given to the people of Yiewsley and held in a covenant. Yiewsley is already in deficit for green spaces. Safe spaces for all the community to use for recreation, keeping fit, walking dogs, meeting with & making new friends.

Seeing the number of flats we have had built in & around the Yiewsley area is of growing concern. Our infrastructure cannot take anymore flats. We need more community spaces, affordable, local club spaces which can double up as venues for hire - there aren't many places locally as we have recently found out. Our doctors, dentists & Hillingdon hospital are bending under the strain of how many people are in the area. This is compromising the health of everyone that needs these services now & in the future.

All these people living in flats with no access to a garden are being effected in health matters both physically & mentally, having to rely on time in their days to make it to the park. Access to a garden & the importance of this for everyone is being overlooked.

The flats that are built do not consider how much space people need & fit what they can is the smallest rooms. Depression & mental health problems are increasing. There is a correlation between this & how people are living.

Compromising our green spaces & free spaces by adding more properties will only add to the increasing pollution problem in the area & with the ULEZ charges so coming into play, this seems to be a contradictory response.

The natural land helps protect us in many areas including from flooding as well as supporting the air quality & encouraging a strong wildlife presence. I haven't seen evidence of hedgehogs in our gardens for 20years - 20 years in which the population & the number of properties with fewer gardens & land left to nature.

Our schools are struggling with finding spaces for children. Children's services have been reduced & there are plans afoot to change them again yet there are more families in need of the services. More families will mean more spaces are needed.

With every new build, it is a strain on resources.

My son goes to Rabbsfarm Primary school. The roads he has to walk along are already unsafe & crowded. These roads will be effected in safety & ease of use not only by work access as the flats are being built but also by the new property & cars etc on the road.

Our health is suffering the added pollution that more properties, more people & more cars on the road are bringing.

The area is feeling unsafe, & honestly, sadly, it's becoming ugly & going through the high street it feels like everything is encroaching on you & becoming claustrophobic. It isn't a happy, welcoming feeling anymore. Having been born, raised & lived here for almost 45years, it's a sad, depressing feeling.

We have so many flats which are standing empty & the ones which are for private rental or sale are far too expensive. People don't want to or cannot pay that sort of money. Why add more to this?

Please hear the people of the community & honour the covenant placed on this piece of land. Make it a good, safe, useable part of the community, for the community.

Thank you for taking time to read this email & for counting this objection.

Kind regards,

Dear Capital Programme Works Service,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposed appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane in West Drayton, with the postcode UB7 8PE. I have carefully reviewed the details of the proposal and have serious concerns regarding its potential impact on the local community and environment.

First and foremost, I would like to highlight the significance of this land to our community. The proposed appropriation would result in the loss of a valuable green space that is currently enjoyed by residents and plays a crucial role in enhancing the quality of life in our neighborhood. This land serves as a recreational area, offering opportunities for outdoor activities, exercise, and relaxation. It fosters a sense of community and acts as a natural habitat for various wildlife species, contributing to the biodiversity of the region.

Furthermore, the development of this land raises concerns about increased traffic congestion and associated issues. West Drayton already experiences heavy traffic flow during peak hours, and the proposed appropriation could exacerbate the situation. The additional strain on local infrastructure, including roads and public transportation, would not only inconvenience residents but also have a negative impact on air quality and overall sustainability.

In addition to these concerns, I am worried about the potential adverse effects on the local ecosystem. The destruction of green spaces often leads to a loss of trees and vegetation, which are vital for maintaining a healthy environment. These natural elements provide shade, absorb carbon dioxide, and mitigate the effects of urban heat islands. They also play a crucial role in stormwater management and reducing the risk of flooding. The loss of such environmental benefits would be detrimental to the overall well-being of our community.

Considering the aforementioned issues, I urge you to reconsider the proposed appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane. I believe it is essential to preserve and protect our existing green spaces, which are becoming increasingly scarce in urban areas. Instead of prioritizing development at the cost of our environment and community well-being, we should explore alternative solutions that promote sustainable growth and maintain the unique character of our neighborhood.

I kindly request that you take into account the concerns raised by myself and other concerned residents regarding this matter. It is crucial to ensure a transparent and inclusive decision-making process that incorporates the opinions and interests of the local community. I would appreciate the opportunity to participate in any public consultations or meetings related to this proposal to voice my concerns further.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will carefully consider the objections raised and act in the best interest of our community and the environment. I look forward to hearing from you and being kept informed about any developments related to this proposal.

Yours sincerely,



I strongly oppose Hillingdon Council appropriation of covenanted land at Otterfield Road (formerly Yiewsley swimming pool) and Falling Lane (presently Yiewsley Library).

Presumably this renewed application is signed off by the current Mayor councillor Ahmad-Wallana and supersedes paperwork signed by councillor Roy Chamdal.

I am appalled by the Council's intention to "grab land on the cheap" for housing development, thereby depriving Yiewsley/West Drayton residents of their much needed parkland.

Covenants are our protection against intrusive developments.

In 1927 the Urban District Council had the foresight to ratify, and sign the deed of covenant to retain the two pieces of land and parkland "in trust" for the purposes of pleasure, leisure, health and well-being.

They recognised the importance of protecting spaces for local people due to increased demand from industry and developers.

Therefore these two pieces of restrictive covenanted land, alongside the existing greenfield, bowling green, skate park, fitness area and children's play area in their totality and historically formed "Yiewsley recreational Grounds".

This document stated "The whole said piece of land shall forthwith be dedicated and in perpetuity maintained as open space for public use and recreation"

The Covenant also specified "No buildings other than lodges (low use buildings) to be erected in order to support these facilities".

Previous Opposition to use land for housing provision (both sites)

Local people have vehemently opposed the appropriation of these sites for housing development in their various guises dating back to 2014 and earlier.

These schemes asset strip the land, do not enhance the park or satisfy the covenants requirements to benefit all the community to enjoy the open spaces and recreational facilities.

It involves demolishing our perfectly functioning library, adding to the carbon footprint and rebuilding on the Otterfield Road land. However this scheme is clearly dependent on finance acquired by building flats on both plots of land.

Aims of the Hillingdon Local Plan

According to the LBH local plan part 2 the Council have been actively identifying smaller pieces of green belt/covenanted land to declassify or downgrade to brownfield sites.

In this report WD/Yiewsley area has been identified as a travel hub (Elizabeth line) therefore allotted high density units, in all probability blocks of flats to be constructed and not traditional houses.

This makes preserving these two sites even more relevant for future generations.

This explains why you are determined to nullify the covenant, and forge ahead with this development against residents wishes.

I only hope the Covenant is upheld as I understand for legal reasons this would go before the Planning Inspectorate in London for approval or possibly the High Court.

Alternate sites

Alternative sites should be optimized before covenanted land is appropriated.  
For example land at Rainbow Industrial Estate ,Trout Road (previously identified and planning submitted for housing development). This is an ideal location due to its proximity to the High Street, left derelict and boarded up for at least a couple of decades. Currently wasted as a building materials and storage facility until December 2023. allowing for further land contamination.

#### History and gradual erosion of amenities

Over time Yiewsley Recreational Grounds have reduced in size or access restricted in preparation to sell off our land.

According to 1934/1935 map the park was well established- green area for walking, tennis courts, bowling green and open air lido (later enclosed to become indoor swimming pool).

The now Council car park - Otterfield Rd/Fairfield Rd was originally a large sports ground.

The Falling Lane entrance had flower beds and a building predating the present library to support the parks activities.

#### Recent history

Permission was granted to build a purpose built library, it fulfilled the covenants criteria. A facility for public use providing educational and pleasurable activities.

The council were reminded by the Secretary of State (1980s?) when permission was required to extend hard standing for car parking on land that was still protected by the Covenant

Gradually ingressions have resulted in loss of facilities:

Closure of public toilets.

Removal of bowling club house.

Ever expanding hard standing laid.

Demolition of Yiewsley pool.

Areas boarded up and neglected for 11 plus years.

#### Metropolitan Open Land

Ian Edwards previously issued a statement to assure residents that if appropriation went ahead, the rest of the park would not be developed as it was classified as MOL.

GLA chapter 7 London Living Spaces & Places Policy 7•17 MOL The supporting text states

"Appropriate development should be limited to small scope structures to support outdoor open spaces and minimise any adverse impact on the openness of MOL .

Development is allowed in very special circumstances"

However if a large scale housing development is planned, this will impact on the openness or enclose the MOL. Therefore it wouldn't meet the required criteria to protect the land ,resulting in declassification and leave the land vulnerable to further development as and when LBH deems necessary .

The land on which the old swimming pool was built was given to the people of Yiewsley and held in a covenant. Yiewsley is already in deficit for green spaces so i think this should not happen at all

please do not give this old swimming pool land to anyone.  
increase the amount of green land and put the fruit trees all around the place  
this will make the place green and also attract birds and bees.

I do not want Hillingdon Council to take community owned land in Yiewsley, particularly the Yiewsley Park and library area, and build flats on it. I do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. I do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site. I object to all current applications by Hillingdon Council to build on that area of land. My reasons for objection include:

- The junction at the library is already very congested - yet more flats will bring yet more cars to the junction. There is a large body of evidence on the long and short term respiratory damage caused by traffic fumes of idling cars. If the council pursues building flats at this intersection, this damage would intentionally be inflicted on schoolchildren in the nearby school and at the school pedestrian crossing near the library and Yiewsley Park. Hillingdon Council should take note of the role of Lewisham council played in the death of Ella Adoo-Kissi-Debrah due to polluted air.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-london-56801794>

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/nov/30/air-pollution-girl-died-london-should-have-treated-emergency-ella-kissi-debrah>

- The land around Yiewsley Park was protected by covenant during its sale, and intended for future generations to enjoy open, green space. William Knowles conveyed by Covenant (a legal agreement and promise that is held into the future), worded specifically: 'the whole of the grounds forever to remain recreational' .. "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds" in 1926. To sell the land to developers is to break the law's intention.

- Residents have objected repeatedly, across multiple forums (change.org, in person, by email, at council meetings) to the appropriation of the land protected by covenant. Each time the objections are upheld, the council repeat the planning application. This indicates to me a lack of awareness of, or respect for, needs for residents. It is intimidating and exhausting for residents like myself. The council are creating anxiety in residents including myself that the council are using their size, and familiarity with bureaucracy, to wear down residents by re-lodging applications. The applicant (council) are using state funds to push internal agendas, and are using their superior power, staffing and wealth to do so - this is not a healthy relationship, and any application that succeeds on this basis should be considered legally and morally unsound.

- "Individuals have less mental distress, less anxiety and depression, greater wellbeing and healthier cortisol profiles when living in urban areas with more greenspace compared with less greenspace. Large differences in disease prevalence are reported when comparing residents of very green and less green settings"

We are in a national crisis of poor mental health, particularly following Covid, combined with unrelenting pressure on the local health services. Yiewsley is one of the poorest socioeconomic

boroughs in London, adjacent to high polluters such as Heathrow airport, M4 and M25. Removing green spaces in this context as a strategy would deliberately and intentionally increase poor mental and physical health across the area, both for residents, and the health services trying to support them. How can this be a policy that Hillingdon Council endorse while at the same time demanding council tax payments from these same residents?

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5663018/>

<https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg24933270-800-green-spaces-arent-just-for-nature-they-boost-our-mental-health-too/>

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-87675-0>

- Hillingdon would be destroying its own reputation as a green borough if it builds yet more flats in Yiewsley. Since the flat building outbreak started, the average price of rental has rocketed to be similar to inner city prices (£1600 minimum), long term local residents are being priced out, so many flats are empty and there are no local services being built for the ones that are already in use. We regularly see trucks trying to clear blocked waste pipes as the flats have been jammed in on top of existing Victorian sewage systems, not designed for the sudden rash of skyscrapers. Protecting the covenanted land would make articles like the below more impactful and show a genuine commitment to underfunded, poor and traditionally voiceless areas of the borough such as Yiewsley.

<https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/article/8367/Hillingdon-judged-again-to-have-the-most-top-class-parks-in-the-country>

- Biodiversity supports ecosystem services mitigating heat, noise and air pollution, e.g. from Heathrow airport and local traffic congestion. At a time when climate change and biodiversity loss is at the top of the global and national agenda, how can Hillingdon Council justify SPEEDING UP biodiversity loss in the Yiewsley area?

The UK is one of the world's most nature-depleted countries - in the bottom 10% globally. Yiewsley and West Drayton are amongst the most polluted areas in the borough, with Heathrow and motorways encircling it. Deliberately putting flats on one of the last few areas of biodiversity in the area is a criminal act and will speed up the negative impacts of climate change on local residents, local plant, animal and insect ecosystems, and ultimately increase associated deaths and poor health in the area.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-58859105>

- Hillingdon Council should consider the growing movement of "rights for nature", where councils and governments recognise the rights of nature to exist in its own personhood, and criminalise the same acts against land as against people. The council will find itself on the wrong side of the law, not to mention history, by intentionally selling land it a) does not own outside the covenant and b) selling that land as property, to be destroyed by developers.

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/jul/17/laws-of-nature-could-uk-rivers-be-given-same-rights-as-people-aoe>

<https://www.garn.org/>

- There is already a lack of light (due to skyscraper style flat building, out of character with the

surroundings) and lack of green space for local residents in the area. There are over large housing developments and associated traffic congestion on tiny roads already in adjacent roads like Trout Road and Winnock Road.

I am writing to object to this proposal.

As I understand it - this land was purchased with a covenant which said the land should be held "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds."

To me it is fundamental that open space for public use should be preserved - once it is lost it is gone forever. This especially in build up urban areas. A more suitable alternative proposal needs to be arrived at.

#### TO WHOM IT MAT CONCERN

Hillingdon Council are trying to take community owned land in Yiewsley and build flats on it. We do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. We do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site.

The land was purchased with a covenant which said the land should be held "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds." The land could be used for preparing young people for GCSE Natural History. Or simply as space for local people to gun around on. Following Covid, it is vital for mental health to have green spaces."

This writing serves to object Hillingdon Council's proposal to Appropriate this land from residents and also their development proposals for the Library and Swimming Pool sites.

KINDLY STOP TAKING LAND FOR FLATS!

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

Dear Sir /Madam,

I understand that the council intend to appropriate two area of land in Yiewsley currently protected by Land Covenants.

One where the current library is positioned and the the other being the old swimming pool land. Many local residents do not want the land appropriated to building high rise flats, they want the land to remain as is for the people of Yiewsley as originally stated in the covenant for recreational purposes.

I have lived in Yiewsley for 20 years. During this time and have used many of the local facilities, the library, swimming pool, park, local shops.

If yet more residential flats are built on this protected land, my concerns include increased traffic and pollution on Otterfield Road and Yiewsley as a whole.

More strain on local services, especially doctors surgeries which are already under immense pressure, and local schools.

The proposed building are too high and do not match the surrounding area, plus the children play areas will be overlooked.

Property values will decrease.

Increased pollution and noise from any building work, large trucks and lorries.

The former land of the swimming pool should be used for recreation and community. Somewhere for those people already living in the many, many, many flats that have been built in recent years on any spare land available, to enjoy.

Enough building, more open space.

My Questions are as follows

What new facilities are you proposing to support the additional people living in the area of Yiewsley?

Is there a plan for more schools, doctors, health care these are already over subscribed?

What do you propose to do for local people providing computer access when the library is demolished a service used by hundreds of people ? As the library wont be available until a new one is built.

Students use the library to study as a quiet space, when they live in a noisy and busy home what replacement are you offering?

What are you plans to reduce the lorries and disruption on Otterfield Road during the building proposal as it is already a busy and congested road and quite often comes to a stand still?

How do you propose to support the parking spaces needed to access the local shops, park, children's playgroups, schools, church, while the building work is going on? where are the builders going to park? I understand the current council car park will be reduced by a third if plans go ahead.

The noise and disruption to the area during building will be distressing and especially for people in the park and working from home in the nearby area. Do you propose to limit the working times to overcome this noise pollution if this goes ahead?

What do you propose to do about disabled access to the park? Wheelchairs users use the Car Park by the Library to access the park safely.

Can we be assured that building works would be stopped if pollution and noise levels were increased above legal limits?

How many proposed homes will be discounted to be made affordable for people on low incomes living in Yiewsley?

What are your proposed financial offers to sell these homes to local people?

How will this development create a better living experience and benefit the people of Yiewsley?

Over the 20 years I have lived in Yiewsley, I have seen a dramatic change. We really don't need more & more flats built. Let's preserve what outside places are left for EVERYONE to enjoy.

I look forward to a response to my questions.

With kind regards,

Dear Sir /Madam,

I understand that the council intend to appropriate two area of land in Yiewsley currently protected by Land Covenants.

One where the current library is positioned and the the other being the old swimming pool land. Many local residents do not want the land appropriated to building high rise flats, they want the land to remain as is for the people of Yiewsley as originally stated in the covenant for recreational purposes.

I have lived in Yiewsley for 20 years. During this time and have used many of the local facilities, the library, swimming pool, park, local shops.

If yet more residential flats are built on this protected land, my concerns include increased traffic and pollution on Otterfield Road and Yiewsley as a whole.

More strain on local services, especially doctors surgeries which are already under immense pressure, and local schools.

The proposed building are too high and do not match the surrounding area, plus the children play areas will be overlooked.

Property values will decrease.

Increased pollution and noise from any building work, large trucks and lorries.

The former land of the swimming pool should be used for recreation and community. Somewhere for those people already living in the many, many, many flats that have been built in recent years on any spare land available, to enjoy.

Enough building, more open space.

My Questions are as follows

What new facilities are you proposing to support the additional people living in the area of Yiewsley?

Is there a plan for more schools, doctors, health care these are already over subscribed?

What do you propose to do for local people providing computer access when the library is demolished a service used by hundreds of people ? As the library wont be available until a new one is built.

Students use the library to study as a quiet space, when they live in a noisy and busy home what replacement are you offering?

What are you plans to reduce the lorries and disruption on Otterfield Road during the building proposal as it is already a busy and congested road and quite often comes to a stand still?

How do you propose to support the parking spaces needed to access the local shops, park, children's playgroups, schools, church, while the building work is going on? where are the builders going to park? I understand the current council car park will be reduced by a third if plans go ahead.



The noise and disruption to the area during building will be distressing and especially for people in the park and working from home in the nearby area. Do you propose to limit the working times to overcome this noise pollution if this goes ahead?

What do you propose to do about disabled access to the park? Wheelchairs users use the Car Park by the Library to access the park safely.

Can we be assured that building works would be stopped if pollution and noise levels were increased above legal limits?

How many proposed homes will be discounted to be made affordable for people on low incomes living in Yiewsley?

What are your proposed financial offers to sell these homes to local people?

How will this development create a better living experience and benefit the people of Yiewsley?

Over the 20 years I have lived in Yiewsley, I have seen a dramatic change. We really don't need more & more flats built. Let's preserve what outside places are left for EVERYONE to enjoy.

I look forward to a response to my questions.

kind regards,

To whom it concerns

The land for appropriation in Yiewsley wreck, was left to the community to be used as a recreational facility. The covenant states that the council hold in trust, for the public to keep these lands "forever in recreational use and not to be built on. It feels that we the residents are being taken advantage of regarding this site and the adherence to the covenant.

The land behind Otterfield Road being earmarked for the erection of high rise flats, will infringe on the privacy and loss of light for the current residents.

Yiewsley and West Drayton is already over urbanised. It is always the developers that benefit not the residents. It is not acceptable that we have a continuing increase in population with no extra GP facilities, school placements, hospital beds etc.

Further development of the area will lead to more traffic, we already have the transfer station with multiple lorries going up and down the high street. In the future, Yiewsley, will I suspect, be affected by the third runway, and the pollution problems this will cause.

I am concerned for my area for all the above reasons and hope my objections will be taken into consideration.

Yours faithfully

PURSUANT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972, SECTION 122

Dear Sir/ Madam,

I wish to comment on your notice issued on 31.5.2023 for the proposed Appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, Yiewsley. I am commenting as a local resident and as a Chartered Surveyor. I am a former resident of Yiewsley.

I do not have any objection to the Appropriation of land at Otterfield Road known as the site of the former Swimming Pool. The Swimming Pool was closed in 2010 and since then the Conservative run Council has done nothing with the site. This shows how poor the Council is. To have a site vacant for so long shows the Council to be very slow and inefficient. I am not commenting at this stage on any potential use of the site.

I wish to object to the Appropriation of land at Falling Lane known as the Library site. This land should stay as public open space and should remain in it's current use. No development should take place on that land. The land is currently used for a public car park and a car park for parents using Rabbs Farm Primary School. I have parked my car in the public car park on numerous occasions in the last 5 years.

The Conservative run Hillingdon Council cannot be trusted to develop this site in a responsible way to benefit the local people. They produced a ridiculous Planning Application for the erection of a 5 storey residential building comprising 50 dwellings and an underground car park, which was a massive overdevelopment of the site. Their aim seemed to be to make money from a development rather than produce a facility that would benefit local people.

Any development of the site would have to be on a small scale to fit in with the surrounding area. A two storey development with a surface car park would be the sort of proposal you might expect for this site to be submitted by developers (to take account of the surrounding area). No Undercroft or Underground car park would be appropriate for this site, for the reason outlined in the paragraph below.

On 27.12.2021, I took the dog for a walk and saw a Fire taking place in an Undercroft car park under the buildings at the junction of Cowley High Street and Station Road. It was some time before the Fire Brigade arrived and local residents brought ladders to rescue people from the Second Floor residential building. I think that everyone was rescued, but one man was heavily affected by smoke and was taken to Hospital. Any Undercroft or Underground car park is a potential Fire Hazard because people always store materials there which can catch fire. Then the people living above are at a severe risk.

Please can you acknowledge receipt of this objection.

Your Sincerely

As Ward Councillor of Yiewsley I have been contacted by many residents who have repeatedly expressed their anger towards the Council on this Notice of Appropriation of land in Yiewsley Recreation Ground.

With over 300 separate objections to the initial Appropriation, the Council withdrew the plans in August 2020 and put out to consultation in October, minor revisions to the plans. An event was held in Yiewsley Library where 100 residents turned up expressing their objections.

Having requested but not been provided with the report from that Consultation, I find it difficult to understand how the Council can serve a Notice of Appropriation. Surely the residents have a right to know what the response from that Consultation was.

Yiewsley is being built up densely without the community provision and infrastructure needed to support the density of people. Services are at an all time low and problems from anti social behaviour and crime on the increase. The library is an ideal location on the high street for its users and moving it doesn't serve the residents. The lack of Green Spaces for the community in Yiewsley makes the Grounds even more cherished by the community. Since the old swimming pool site was demolished, plans of a new swimming pool followed by a health centre have been promised. It is extremely saddening to see that more residential dwelling on covenanted land is how the Council wish to proceed.

Residents are requesting that the land of Yiewsley Recreation Ground be left alone by the Council and instead work with residents to create more facilities that support the health and well-being of the community.

There is absolutely no need for this Appropriation and social housing can be built on other developments if the Council wished to do so.

May I remind the Council of the Covenants:

(a) "The whole of the said piece of land shall forthwith be dedicated and forever maintained as an open space for public use and recreation". (b) "No buildings other than lodges bandstands lavatories cricket pavilions refreshment rooms shelters sheds and buildings of a like nature shall at any time be erected on any part of the said piece of land without the previous consent of the county council it being understood that such consent will only be granted in the case of buildings intended to be used for the purposes of ancillary to the enjoyment of the said piece of land as an open space for public use and recreation".

Yiewsley Urban District Council purchased the land and agreed to a covenant so that future generations could enjoy open, green space. In 1934, William Knowles conveyed the land with a Covenant (a legal agreement and promise that is held into the future), worded specifically: "To hold the same unto the Purchasers their successors and assigns in fee simple for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds. Subject to the said stipulations and restrictions so far as the same are now applicable and affect the land hereby conveyed."

The residents ask the Council to withdraw the Notice and engage with the Community on what happens to this land.

Please note that residents are seeking legal advice to enforce the Covenants and an appeal will be forthcoming should the residents not be listened to.

I sincerely hope that in this instance, the Council will listen to the Residents and as per their motto "Put Our Residents First".

Kind regards

Dear Cllr Ian Edwards,

I have some objections to the proposed appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton UB7 8PE.. As a local representative I wanted to know whether you support these objections and if so how you propose to halt or significantly amend them or alternatively, if you support the new development, how you can justify that position in the light of the objections I describe below.

These objections can be divided in to two broad points.

1) The appropriation application is not connected with an explicit planning outline as was previously the case, therefore it is impossible to provide an informed response to the application as a member of the public.

There were two previous planning applications for the site the most recent of which showed the Council had intentions of extreme levels of over-development of the site, but these proposals (Planning Application 76795/APP/2021/3704) were withdrawn on 1st August 2022. The Appropriation Application does not state whether or not these plans will be resubmitted, abandoned or adapted. The Council appears to be simply engaging in a process to override the restrictive covenants in place for the former swimming pool site while making no guarantees that the requirements or even the spirit of those covenants will be respected.

I submit that it is unacceptable to seek appropriation without a transparent statement from the council regarding their intentions for the site in regard to i) The use of the swimming pool site for a purpose 'for the benefit of the residents' in line with the letter and spirit of the covenants and ii) the intensity of the proposed build, especially with regard to the number of storeys proposed.

2) The lack of amenities of the local area relative to the amount of development it has experienced, despite being subject to one of the highest rates of housing development in the Borough over the last ten years, leading to the deterioration of the economic, social or environmental wellbeing of the area. This is contrary to the requirements of an appropriation application as this application is likely to worsen the situation.

There is a serious lack of development of infrastructure and amenities to support the building of housing in the area which has led to a reduction in the quality of life for local residents in the form of pressure on services, congestion and overcrowding. For example:

i) Schools: no new schools have been build in the past 10 years. Some schools have been enlarged or developed but this was often needed anyway.

ii) Health Care: the same two GP practices serve the locality that have been here for the last thirty years – they have not significantly increased in capacity. The single large dentist practice has recently become private only, removing the previous availability of local NHS dentistry.

iii) Roads: the length of the main roads, the High Street and Station Road experience regular long traffic jams at peak times and the duration of these peaks are increasing.

iv) Rail: the much vaunted Elizabeth Line is massively congested at peak times, is unreliable due to repeated mechanical failures or lack of personnel and can run as low as one train an hour, as TfL are more interested in serving Heathrow or going direct to Reading.

v) Buses: uses are overcrowded, particularly the 222 Uxbridge to Hounslow and limited by the congestion on the High Street and Station Road.

vi) Crime: there is no visible police presence on foot in Yiewsley ever.

vii) Retail Provision: we have recently lost our Argos and Currys stores: B&M left their Cowley Road store last year and the site has been derelict since then. A particular loss was the closure of the Morrisons store, nominally for redevelopment but this seems to be proceeding very slowly and has left the Tesco store on Trout Road both without competition or capacity to provide reliable supplies of food. Smaller stores have arisen to try and fill the gap but they tend to lack range or quality and can be more expensive than the big name stores.

In contrast, the building of high rise intensive housing continues apace: Trout Road (six storeys) and the Morrisons site (140 housing units) are actively being built with planning has been approved in the last few weeks for 100 housing units on Tavistock Road to add to the massive estate already there.

This all means that further housing developments are not viable within the Yiewsley town area and any Appropriation of the Otterfield Road and Falling Lane site must be for the purpose of alleviating these problems, otherwise it will be in breach of the legal and moral requirement to 'promote the improvement of the economic, social or environmental wellbeing' of the area.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,

Dear Mayor,

I understand that you will be involved in the appropriation of Yiewsley covenanted land.

We have been fighting as community to keep this land and would like to create a community garden for all in the old swimming pool space . We have sent numerous objections over the years, stating we do not want any more development of tower blocks on this area.

We are a fabulous community of people who are under funded and under resourced. Creating more tower blocks is not the answer, as we are already are over crowded in this small green space.

My concern is while a new library is being built what services will be in place while this is going on

I spoke to people using the library in only one visit.

1.One person didn't have access to a computer to do their tasks,

2.one person used it for study because their home environment was too noisy,they had no where to study at home.

3. People using the current facility have easy access as there is a bus stop outside.

4. Another person used it for their printing needs as they were on a low income and couldn't afford a printer.

5. Another child minder uses the facility to get books weekly to help the children she looks after.

These were only a few of people I spoke with, that will be without a service that is essential.

My other concern is the congestion in Otterfield road and around the car park. How will you address an already busy road that get snarled up regularly?

We have Wilkos lorry's, plus building lorries, doctors, playschool, shoppers to savers, Iceland and surrounding shops. This is a recipe for disaster servicing all these areas with less parking especially once the builders have to park too.

What will be proposed to ease the already ready congested roads if this goes ahead.

We are also concerned about disabled access. At the moment people can easily park in the library car park to access the park facilities. This will be more difficult with library proposal, they would then have to try and get down Otterfield Road, park in the car park and then attempt to wheel themselves up a very steep narrow incline.

I assume this has been I considered too, if not how are you going to support this for disabled people?

These are only a few of my concerns, the list goes on and on

I look forward to hearing from you with your response in due course.

With kind regards,

Dear Mr Johnson

I hope you have seen the Notice of Appropriation issued by Hillingdon Council on 31 May regarding the development of the Yiewsley library site and the former Yiewsley pool site. Please see: <https://www.hillingdon.gov.uk/proposed-appropriation-west-drayton>

Proposed appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton, UB7 8PE - Hillingdon Council

Have your say by 5pm on Tuesday 27 June.

[www.hillingdon.gov.uk](http://www.hillingdon.gov.uk)

Please could you provide a quote saying what you think about this? I have approached the Labour, Liberal Democrat and Green parties for comment. This issue is relevant to any potential recall petition and the General Election.

Yiewsley voters want to know how far their local MP or potential alternative candidates support residents in their efforts to protect publicly owned land with precious recreational covenants. Also how to avoid knocking down buildings like Yiewsley library and releasing unnecessary carbon into the atmosphere.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Yours sincerely

Hello,

I object to this planning.

Regards

Capital Programme Works Service, Hillingdon Council, Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge, UB8 1UW

23rd June 2023

Dear Sirs,

It is regrettable to have to again outline the many major societal/community objections against the Appropriation of Public Lands held in Trust for

**Recreational** benefit to the residents of Yiewsley Ward. The Appropriation is completely against public benefit and will diminish quality of life for Yiewsley residents, present and future. Recognised by LBH as an area "of poorer environmental quality... in Local Plan Part 1 Strategic Policies Built Environment. Yiewsley was then sited "with a need to ensure that planned for growth does not take place at the expense of valued local, open spaces."

**LBH Open Space Strategy 2011-2026** - 3.2.3 Priorities for Action: Yiewsley (page 39) 1. There is an insufficient quality of accessible open space serving Yiewsley District Centre 2. Yiewsley Ward



requires another further 40 hectares of open space to meet the overall quantity standard 3. There is deficiency in the accessibility of level space in the eastern part of Yiewsley **Remedy 19** = Increase the quality of **accessible open space** in Yiewsley including recreational, open space to meet the quantity standards **Item 103** = Policies for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts The southern parcel of land is a local, green space - boarded up without reason cutting off public use and dishonouring the Trust under which the land was transferred. Photo taken 22.6.2023 This public green space has not been maintained or any facilities returned to replace the swimming pool, gym & community café destroyed despite substantial public objections in 2010. LBH has an opportunity to enhance this sustainable, environmental existing public green space. LBH Appropriation of public trust land goes against the Strategic Climate Action Plan.

**Hillingdon Strategic Climate Action Plan Action C3.4** To ensure all new development is environmentally responsible, including protecting existing designations and sites of interest. 1

**Corporate Climate commitment 5:** to enhance opportunities... particularly in urban areas. "We can find better ways to use green space and open space." Residents have suggested many preferred recreational, well being, community spaces as options for their public trust land. Yiewsley residents have signed repeated petitions with thousands of signatures against the change of use of both precious public recreational spaces. **Action C6.6** requires consideration of "the impacts of reducing green spaces, paving over gardens and increasing hardstanding." I. Building flats on covenanted land will both reduce green space and increase hardstanding II. Increase pollution in an over developed area with increased home deliveries per flat III. Increased traffic congestion, poor infrastructure Falling Lane/High Street junction; already clogs main road arteries from school drop offs to Rabbs Farm and The Pride Academy. **C6 commentary** - "mitigation means making up for those areas already lost." The first Hillingdon football club was founded as **Yiewsley F.C.** in 1872. 1985 Leas Stadium off Falling Lane was sold to property developers who built a housing estate on the land. Yiewsley's 4 football pitches (open spaces) were lost to housing development. There has not been a replacement football pitch established in Yiewsley. LBH proposes environmental irresponsible building on open space is against LBH own policies.

**SIC Non Compliance** Consistent, historic stripping of Yiewsley Recreational Grounds community facilities leave Yiewsley residents bereft of a safe space for social cohesion to connect people. NB: community Bowling Hut Appropriated in 2018 was not replaced with new community meeting space facility.

**National Planning Policy Framework July 2021** Provides that previously developed land (Brownfield)... where the fixed surface has blended into the landscape "**excludes areas such as parks, recreation grounds**" There is legal precedent that applies to the misrepresentation designation of Brownfield areas and can be legally challenged. **National Institute for Health and Care 22 Research** "*research has shown that people in the most deprived areas are more likely to live close to a park than elsewhere. But if a park is unsafe or in disrepair, people will not use it.*" The appropriation of valued, functioning public owned, Existing Open Space with street level entrance to the park and library goes against public benefit and disability access. Where there are invaluable existing **open views**, residents' privacy is currently maintained by a lack of **tall buildings** in this Public Realm. The repurposing of land for social housing in an already overcrowded and deprived area is paramount to deliberate **Ghettoization**. 2 Hillingdon has a major wealth/open spaces/well being North to South divide. LBH proposes to appropriate public land from the poorest Ward in the Borough, selling off what little resource Yiewsley has against the public's benefit. Notably compared to a £6m spend on Ruislip Woods extension.

Evidence to provide the impact of open views provided by open spaces: **Local Plan Part 2 - Development Management Policies** Policy DMHB 10: High Buildings and Structures Pt 3... be sensitive to.. its relationship with public and private open spaces and its impact on local views". Point 7 - be well managed, provide positive social and economic benefits and contribute to socially balanced and inclusive communities. LBH serves Hillingdon Residents unequally. Selling off what is already owned holds not benefit Yiewsley residents. Suggesting replacement of a well functioning Library instead of refurbishment of the existing 2nd floor is ignoring alternatives and does not excuse the appropriation.

Dear Sir/Madam,

**Objection to appropriation of land at Yiewsley Recreation Ground, Falling Lane and the former Yiewsley Swimming pool at Otterfield Road**

I am a resident of Otterfield Road and I am writing to object to the council's application to appropriate the public land at the above sites and the removal of their protective covenants. These covenants were obviously designed to protect the sites from exactly what the council are planning to do with this precious public land and it must not be allowed to go ahead. These sites are intended to be available for the benefit of residents and are classified as part of a park/recreational ground.

The future use of the former swimming pool site should be determined by consultation with the local people and could provide healthful, community purposes such as community shared recreational space or even allotments.

The Library at the Falling Lane site has recently been significantly refurbished and it would make no sense to demolish it for redevelopment. The council has just adopted a climate action plan and must recognise that demolition and construction are major contributors to climate change.

The current location of the library is also much better connected to public transport than the proposed new location and its accessibility is also good. This is essential both for Library and other community uses that it supports – Internet access, Polling Station, Councillor Surgeries etc. The Public Sector Equality Duty is a vital part of the Council's statutory duties which it must consider at the same time as this attempt at Appropriation.

I am aware that there is a considerable ground swell of objection in the population locally and do not believe that the council is "putting residents first" in any way with these proposals. I trust that they will be withdrawn forthwith.

Dear Mr Scott

I am writing to raise my concerns regarding the appropriation of land at Otterfield Road and Falling Lane, West Drayton, UB7 8PE.

As you are aware, there are covenants in place which preserve the recreational use of this land, and it has long been cherished by residents for this exact purpose. There are no good or compelling reasons to override this covenant, and the Council could leave itself exposed to the possibility of judicial review if it decides to contravene them on this occasion.

This open space is particularly critical in the context of the rapid urbanisation taking place in the area.

London desperately needs more affordable housing, and we need to build it in a way that creates healthy environments for Londoners – for those moving into the new housing and for those already living in the communities where building is taking place. The COVID pandemic taught us that social and recreational spaces such as that on which this plan

depends are vital for residents' physical and mental wellbeing. It would be deeply concerning if the Council chose to imperil that.

While I am pleased that the Council has pledged to protect the Yiewsley Recreation Ground's amenity space, residents rightly feel that they have been left out of the consultation process by the Council on this occasion. This has been carried out by the council and by developers with no results or briefing given to residents in advance of the appropriation notice. It is ultimately the residents who will have to live with the consequences of any development on green spaces close to their homes, and this must be taken into consideration by the Council before any plans proceed.

Dear Sir/Madam,

**Objection to Appropriation of land at Yiewsley Recreation Ground, Falling Lane, and the former Yiewsley Swimming pool at Otterfield Road**

I am a resident of Otterfield Road, and I am writing to formally object to Hillingdon Council's application to appropriate the public land at the above sites and the removal of their protective covenants.

As an aid to understanding, this letter looks at the following points:

1. Supreme Court urges careful consideration when Recreational Covenants are affected.
2. Consultation has been inadequate.
3. What is the current law on consultation?
4. Need for community engagement with genuine choices, not just one option!
5. Notice of Appropriation
6. The Housing Land supply in Hillingdon
7. There are sufficient housing units being built in Yiewsley
8. Proposed developments on the Recreational Covenant sites
9. The current Yiewsley Library site and the Public sector Equality Duty
10. Community space already exists in Yiewsley.
11. We need more green space in Yiewsley, not less.
12. Hillingdon Council ignored us at the ballot box.
13. Petition opposing the Notice of Appropriation

**1. Supreme Court urges careful consideration when recreational covenants are affected.**

In the recent Supreme Court case of *R v Shropshire Council ex parte Day* [2023] UKSC8, paragraph 42 of the judgment highlighted Baron VC rejecting Sunderland Corporation's submission that they were entitled to use the land for any public purpose:

"But I am of the opinion that buildings not connected with public walks or pleasure grounds are plainly unlawful."

Lady Rose, who gave the main judgment, said:

"If as a result of this appeal, other local authorities and parish councils decide to follow that advice and take stock of how they acquired and now hold the pleasure grounds, public walks and open spaces that they make available to the public to enjoy then that, in my judgment, would be all to the good."

In the recent case of *Fearn and others v Board of Trustees of the Tate Gallery*, [2023] UKSC the UK Supreme Court said that whether the use of land wrongfully interferes with the ordinary use and enjoyment of neighbouring land the interference must be substantial. It is no answer to a claim for nuisance to say that the defendant is using its land reasonably or in a way that is beneficial to the public.

## **2. Consultation has been inadequate.**

Please can you explain why the Council has not released details of the outcome of the consultation event which took place at Yiewsley Library in October 2022 regarding the proposals to redevelop the two sites?

I have approached Hunters, the developers, to ask them for the outcome of the consultation event. But I have not received a substantive response with the information I requested. I have approached Mr Malhotra of Hillingdon Council Planning Department to request details of the outcome of the event. But I have not received a substantive response with the information I requested. I have asked one of my local ward representatives, Cllr Sital Punja, and she has advised me that she has made a Members Enquiry about the consultation event. But she has received no substantive information.

So, I put in a **Freedom of Information Act request** which I have not received a substantive reply to.

## **3. What is the current law on consultation?**

You will be aware that there is currently a case before the Court of Appeal about the nature of consultations relating to the DWP's National Disability Strategy. There is also the High Court case which the London Borough of Hillingdon is bringing in relation to the Ultra Low Emission Zone which may impact on the law relating to consultation.

## **4. Need for community engagement with genuine choices, not just one option!**

Before we had Yiewsley High Street upgraded, with funding provided by the then Mayor of London, we were consulted at Yiewsley Library over what we thought of the layout and design that was being proposed. I attended and gave feedback to the council officer whose job it was to engage with residents. This included being consulted over issues like the number of trees and flower beds which were suggested.

Surely, if we have the urban design expertise within the London Borough of Hillingdon to perform this kind of work, it is possible to engage with Yiewsley residents about what use should be made of the sites with Recreational Covenants?

The future use of the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site should be determined by consultation with local people and could provide community shared recreational space or even allotments.

## **5. Notice of Appropriation**

I do not think that the process which has been undertaken in relation to the Notice of Appropriation is adequate. The Notice states that it is:

*"...in connection with the proposed future development of the site for the provision of housing and community uses."*

Logically, this means that the outcome of the consultation event undertaken in October 2022 is **a relevant factor which residents ought to be advised about prior to the deadline for submission of objections, for the consultation to be meaningful.**

Please can you explain why the Council has not consulted with the public in Yiewsley about **what we would like** to have built on the sites with Recreational Covenants?

Why was Hillingdon People magazine, which Council Tax payers fund, and which goes to all households in Hillingdon, not used to consult residents about the sites with Recreational Covenants and the Notice of Appropriation?

The May/June edition of Hillingdon People contains information about Yiewsley, but nothing about the consultation process for the proposed Notice of Appropriation. It contains nothing about the outcome of the event which was held in conjunction with developers at Yiewsley Library in October 2022.

When the Council wants to change its residents' legal rights, it does not use Hillingdon People to tell people their rights are being taken away.

## **6. The Housing land supply in Hillingdon**

In December 2021, I sat through a number of days of the online appeal regarding the proposed development of the B&M site and read both Counsel's closing submissions in the case. It is clear from that appeal that Hillingdon Council has not consulted residents properly about the future development of Yiewsley.

The submission made by counsel for the London Borough of Hillingdon stated at paragraph 99: *"The delivery of housing is only of moderate weight because the Council has a healthy five-year housing land supply and is complying with the requirements of national policy to boost significantly the supply of housing."*

Counsel would only have said this under instruction by the London Borough of Hillingdon Solicitor and ought to be capable of being relied upon as a true statement.

The B&M flats appeal also showed Hillingdon Council is likely to receive a planning application to build flats in the Trout Road site, which was put forward by Hillingdon Council as an alternative site for the health centre which would be part of that application. The appeal site at B&M would also have provided a health centre, more quickly than the proposal at the Trout Road site.

The B&M appeal also revealed Hillingdon Council has appointed an urban design expert to do some drawings about what flats could be accommodated on the site at Trout Road.

**There had been no public involvement in the designs, which were described as a "high level exercise."**

## **7. There are sufficient housing units being built in Yiewsley.**

Currently, Yiewsley has 86 residential units that have recently been completed in Horton Road, adjacent to the canal, please see: 71582/APP/2016/4582

In addition, 158 residential units are due to be built at the former Morrison's supermarket site, please see: APP/R5510/W/20/3250434 (LPA Ref. 2370/APP/2019/2880).

This development, if the amendment is approved in Major Planning Committee for Morrisons in the High Street, the proposed elevation will over dominate the area. There will no doubt be months of mayhem in Yiewsley High Street when all the work starts, as when, many years ago when the Co-op was built, the traffic was nowhere near as busy as it is now.

Yiewsley High Street, which is already a pollution hotspot according to *Air Quality in Hillingdon: A Guide for Public Health Professionals* published in 2022, also has the lorries from the construction of HS2 driving up the High Street to contend with.

#### **8. Proposed developments on the Recreational Covenant sites**

The National Policy Planning Framework 2021 has a new focus on design quality.

The proposed development that was exhibited at Yiewsley Library in October 2022, on the former Yiewsley swimming pool site, is overbearing on the residents of Otterfield Road and of users of the park.

Visual amenity will be reduced for park users.

#### **9. The current Yiewsley Library site and the Public sector Equality Duty**

The Library at the Falling Lane site has recently been significantly refurbished and it would make no sense to demolish it for redevelopment. The council has adopted a Climate Action plan and must recognise that demolition and construction are major contributors to Climate Change.

The upstairs of the two storey Yiewsley Library building could be used for young people to have space to do homework and for after school activities. It could also be used for groups such as older people and other groups who share protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010.

The current location of Yiewsley Library is also much better connected to public transport and the U1, U3, U5 and 222 bus stops are adjacent or just opposite the Library. By contrast, the proposed new location of the Library is far less accessible, with no bus routes going to the front door.

Accessibility is needed both for Yiewsley Library and other community uses that it supports – Internet access, Polling Station, and Councillors' Surgeries.

At night time in Winter, late-night opening of the Library in its proposed new location would not be good for people who worry about their safety on the streets. The existing site has bus stops and a High Street nearby.

It would be a breach of the Public Sector Equality Duty to move the Library from its existing site to a site further away from bus stops. The technical guidance for the Public Sector Equality Duty, which Hillingdon Council has a link to on its website, should be read by decision makers prior to making this decision.

#### **10. Community space already exists in Yiewsley.**

There are three Churches in Yiewsley close to the High Street who have had public meetings organised by members of the community held in them. They also let their premises out to a variety of groups. Is there any evidence of groups who want space to hold meetings or group activities, being rejected by the Churches? If so, why has Hillingdon Council not let them use the upstairs of Yiewsley Library? Building unnecessary buildings might add to Gross Domestic Product but it is not a good use of the available land.

#### **11. We need more green space in Yiewsley, not less.**

Green space helps to reduce the adverse impact of urbanicity on people's Mental Health.

Please see the attached paper entitled *Understanding urbanicity: how interdisciplinary methods help to unravel the effects of the city on mental health* (2020). If you look at Box 2 on

page 3, it highlights the impact of urbanicity on people. The paper further highlights how lack of green space has been associated with the prevalence of anxiety and autism spectrum disorders. Low green space was associated with a 1.52 increased schizophrenia risk with profound effects for low green space during childhood.

I am aware that there is a considerable groundswell of objection in the population locally and do not believe that the council is "Putting residents first" in any way with these proposals.

#### **12. Hillingdon Council ignored us at the ballot box.**

Hillingdon Conservative local council 2022 Manifesto stated at page 4 that it would build a new Library in Yiewsley. Voters in Yiewsley decided to reject the Conservative offer to us. I specifically asked James Cantwell, one of the Conservative candidates in the local council election, whether the fact that Hillingdon Council was going to build a new Leisure Centre in West Drayton meant that the Council's financial position was such that it needed to build flats on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site to get income in. He flatly denied that this was the case.

#### **13. Petition opposing the Notice of Appropriation**

A petition has been started, which I have signed, which states:

"Hillingdon Council are trying to take community owned land in Yiewsley and build flats on it. We do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. We do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site.

The land was purchased with a covenant which said the land should be held "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds." The land could be used for

preparing young people for GCSE Natural History. Or simply as space for local people to run around on. Following Covid, it is vital for mental health to have green spaces.

Please sign this petition to object to Hillingdon Council's proposal to appropriate this land from residents and also their development proposals for the Library and Swimming Pool sites."

I would urge you to recommend to Councillors that these proposals are withdrawn forthwith, and a proper community consultation, and not just a binary choice, is undertaken with residents.

I write to object in the most strenuous of terms to the proposed appropriations of public open space park lands from the people of Yiewsley.

I re-submit my objections from 31 Dec 2021. Unfortunately, ongoing issues of health prevent me from being able to submit a new document. It is acknowledged that certain aspects of the document are focused on the specific procedural circumstances of the last 'consultation'. However, it is in working to oppose Hillingdon's dishonourable conduct from that period that my own health was driven into further decline. The preservation of the extent and character of the extremely limited open spaces available in Yiewsley is now more important to my own health than ever before. And, Hillingdon show disregard for the health of Yiewsley as a community in seeking to steal from us part of our open spaces and park lands.

Contained herein (in the attachment incorporated in full by reference) are detailed, specific and still applicable objections including, but not limited to, the topics covered in detail from pages 7 through 10. These issues include – without limitation -- issues of disability access, right to green spaces, mental health, increased and forced exposures to pollution and noise, extinguishment of rights of

way and access, breaking the Green Chain, disenfranchisement, diminishment of the de facto village green and a site of local importance, over-development, failure to provide services, excesses of traffic and deficiencies of parking, high street regeneration and material misrepresentations of the nature of these sites.

This appropriation will make no material contribution in social housing but will contribute to the overcrowding and deprivations South of the A40 without returning any benefit to the local residents that will bear the entire adverse impact whilst receiving no benefit. The Hillingdon Cabinet prefer to take land from one of the areas in the Borough with the highest rates of child poverty in all of Hillingdon rather than even consider social housing in their own constituencies.

The Hillingdon

Cabinet have no shame and the only residents that they put first are those that directly elected them, those North of the A40. The Hillingdon Cabinet's history of the last 12 years of pushing development of Yiewsley's recreational spaces reeks of colonial arrogance and indifference to basic humanity.

Principal Estates Surveyor, Property & Estates Department,  
London Borough of Hillingdon, Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge,  
Middlesex UB8 1UW

The forthcoming points made in respect of the proposed appropriations are but a shadow of my intended submission. At the moment, I am but a shadow of myself. I am writing whilst suffering from COVID, feverish, weak, with difficulty in thought and vision, with hands that are in tremor whilst I am attached to a ventilator with supplementary oxygen on standby so that I can maintain an element of strength to fight this oppressive action. We are in a Pandemic, nothing is normal and Democratic Process fails.

No Consultation of this Significance has Legitimacy in these Circumstances

Assuming favourable course for this disease, I nevertheless, and all with whom I have had contact, are in isolation for a minimum of 11 days. My current state is illustrative and evidencing of how we are not in normal times. During the period of this 'consultation', COVID cases were doubling every 1-2 days. My experience is not unique. In contact with Hillingdon over this last month, officers routinely make excuses for limitations in Council services with reference to COVID. Indeed, COVID banners are to be had at the top of most every Hillingdon web page.

We have been and remain in a pandemic where peoples' rights of association have been curtailed in law and further in practice through the official guidance of their government. Democracy demands association and cannot function without it. Hillingdon have chosen the cold and dark of winter, the time when people have been struggling to have any time with their families whilst under the constant shadow of additional COVID restrictions and anticipated lock down. Hillingdon renders up these as explanations for their failure to maintain standards, yet have pushed forward an immense planning application at a time when Hillingdon themselves cannot questions from citizens.

These are not the times in which major decisions can credibly claim to be in keeping with democracy, natural justice or human rights. The timing of Hillingdon's actions to deprive the people of their open space could not have been more carefully calculated to impede



the exercise of true democracy. Yet, as if that were not sufficient, the Leader of the Council has acted to actively disenfranchise the citizens of Yiewsley, those most immediately impacted by this travesty.

The fact that virtually all of the Members of Hillingdon Council have cancelled their constituent surgeries evidences that they, themselves, do not believe it possible to maintain normal democratic functions. And, if these Members deem it necessary to remove themselves from association with the citizens that they are sworn to hear and represent, there is no means by which citizens may responsibly compensate for that deficit.

There is a fundamental dishonesty in colouring this 'consultation' as in any form in keeping with the principles of democracy, natural justice or human rights.<sup>1</sup> I believe it evident that the Leader of the Council has violated his own oath of office and has exercised party political power to illegally instruct the Members elected to represent Yiewsley ward to violate their own oaths of office. Having accepted said instruction, the Yiewsley Members have violated their own oaths. This process will not withstand casual moral scrutiny, let alone investigations by government, digging by investigative journalists or the plethora of available legal actions.

It is rare that bias may be conclusively demonstrated, but in this process, it is evidenced. This Council have proceeded with unwavering determination to expropriate Yiewsley of all assets of community value. And, they have left enough evidence along the way that there is and will be a case to answer.

#### The Cabinet Lacks \*Current\* Authority to Begin this Appropriation

At the October 14 Cabinet meeting authorising this Appropriation 'consultation', in oral argument, in the advance cabinet papers and in the minutes the authority cited for taking this action is the 2017 Cabinet meeting. Perhaps unknown to Cllrs Bianco and Edwards, the people have previously compelled production of that report. The schemes that were authorised are of significant different to that currently filed for planning permission. The changes are far from deminimus, varying in housing density, purposes of housing, footprint, seizure of additional lands from the Fairfield Road Parking Lot, and complete abandonment of the provision of community amenity (which was to be included in addition to the Library which enjoys its own legal protections).

The Council Cabinet has not considered what is an entirely different scheme and voted its approval. The Council Cabinet has not had officer reports that pertain to this new scheme. The Cabinet revisit the scheme, and (it will undoubtedly then) grant new authority. However, in doing so, the citizens of Hillingdon will have had the Cabinet act legally (if not morally).

This current consultation is without valid authority and therein voided.

#### Pre-Determination of Outcomes:

A consultation process cannot be democratic if the outcome is pre-determined. Before being muzzled by their Party Leader Ian Edwards, it was represented by at least one Yiewsley Counsellor that this process of both Appropriation and Planning was (is) a "done

deal". This alone is sufficient grounds for Judicial Appeal of both the Appropriation and/or Planning Process. Similar representations have been made in the past without the evidence now available begging investigation of malfeasance.

<sup>1</sup> Your very person and office have failed to maintain fidelity to the Hillingdon Constitution. The violations of Constitutional rights have created disenfranchisement and further violations of due process and natural justice.

#### The Gazette Notice is Materially Deficient

The Gazette notice is deficient in identification of the sites to be Appropriated and, through these deficiencies, the specifics of the notice further denies citizens of Hillingdon of their right under the natural laws of justice of the opportunity for prior notice of the hearing. MP Boris Johnson and the Hillingdon Conservative Party have on multiple occasions taken credit for "putting Yiewsley on the map." However, the area identified in the notice is listed as West Drayton. The official Royal Mail designation for the area is "Yiewsley, West Drayton." West Drayton is itself a distinct Ward. The notice fails to properly identify the locations of the properties to be "Appropriated".

The lands at Otterfield Road are known as the Former Yiewsley Pool Site. And, the lands at Falling Lane are known as the Library site of the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds. As is clearly evidenced in all internal Council documents, especially Cabinet Reports and statements by the Cabinet Members themselves evidence, the sites subject to Appropriation have well-known names by which they are identified. Indeed, the sites are so referenced in the planning applications submitted for these sites.

#### Site Postings are Materially Deficient

I have had sight of a return from a Freedom of Information Act request concerning the citing of notices for the planning and appropriation processes. In fact, by representation of Hillingdon Council itself, no notice was posted near any of the four entrances to the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds nor within the Grounds. No site notice was placed on the hoardings that illegally deny people access to the Pool Site. However, those hoardings are maintained clear such that any posting, had it been made, would have served actual notice.

In the event, the notices actually made by the Council did not serve the planning or appropriation process thresholds for site notifications. Natural law requires prior notice of hearings. All notification has been deficient.

#### Natural Law Demands Transparency of Process

Under natural law, people must have adequate notice of a hearing or process and the process must be transparent and governed by known procedure, part of what is necessary for a fair hearing.

This Appropriation process has at all times been opaque. In addition to the deficiencies of notification, there are no established references to how the process is to be conducted and what evaluation criteria are to be employed.

The 'rules' governing this consultation are being made up on the fly. This affords no

legitimacy to anything that follows. This round of consultation must be voided. The Cabinet can then, after granting themselves proper authority, return for another 'consultation'

#### Systematic Denial of the Right to be Heard

The Council's initial and only official notice has demanded that submissions be made only in writing and only via post. This requirement creates an effective barrier to participation, disenfranchising many citizens. There appears to be no reason of statute for this requirement. The decision to require submissions in this manner does not withstand scrutiny regarding any intent to hold a fair, open and inclusive consultation process. The Council otherwise embraces, indeed forces, interactions between citizens and itself into web formats. The postal requirement is arcane and whiffs of wilful obstruction.

In the event, it was eventually made clear – but only to a small number of parties making direct queries with the Principal Estates Surveyor --- that the Principal Estates Surveyor could and will accept submissions by email.

However, at no point has this information been published by the Council. It has not been included in the "Have Your Say" notices added to the Council's web site weeks after the consultation began, nor in their later revision. The Leader of the Council Ian Edwards has stated that he is responsible for the appearance of these consultation notices on the web site. The Leader of the Council Ian Edwards has also engaged in multiple emails with citizens but has not once is he known to have advised people of their option to send emails.

This consultation unnecessarily restricted the ability of people to make submissions, resulting in disenfranchisements that render this specific consultation on appropriation void.

#### The Council Has Mislead People Online

It has been observed that questions and comments posted through social media have been met with assurances that comments made in this forum, specifically twitter, shall be taken into consideration in this process. If this were true, there has been no general notification to citizens. There is evidence of a citizen being assured that his comments on planning and appropriation will be taken into account. However, the planning process had closed for comments a full month earlier. Herein is further evidence that the current consultation exercise is a shambles and this round voided.

#### The Council has Confused People

Without any advance warning, the Council dropped nearly 1,200 pages of planning materials, for two distinct sites, intertwined with original and superseded documentation. Not even the legally mandated Design and Access Statements stand alone.

The Planning Office offered no support to people; plans are not available to view in person despite there being in excess of 60 A0 drawings where is the only place relevant details of these schemes are to be found. Planning has not even the capacity to return emails or phone calls from citizens who wish to understand their rights.

Simultaneously, the Council initiated the Appropriation consultation without providing citizens any clarifications or support in process. At a minimum, this duty would have fallen to Ward Counsellors, but Ian Edwards – in the name of ‘protecting’ the Appropriation consultation – denied citizens all access to Ward Counsellors

#### Local Development Framework Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)

At the same meeting where the Cabinet improperly authorised this ‘consultation’ process, the Cabinet approved an updated LDF SCI. By way of the Cabinet Member’s report, the 2021 and 2006 SCIs are not of great material difference. These are statutory documents subject to the approval of the Planning Inspectorate and govern the legally required community consultations for planning, including major planning applications.

The SCI document makes strong advisories to external developers concerning the form and necessities of public consultations prior to submissions of planning applications. Hillingdon has done none of this.

Furthermore, the Council’s own code of conduct for planning explicitly acknowledges that planning submissions for the “Council’s own development can give rise to suspicions of impropriety.”

There is more than suspicion. The council ignored all the objectives of the Statement of Community Involvement, the Leader placed a party-political muzzle on the people’s elected representative who willingly abandoned their Constitutional and procedural duties and obligations. And, has simultaneously advanced the Appropriation ‘consultation’.

In the face of other material deficiencies related to the planning process, the Appropriation ‘consultation’ is further tainted and must be conducted again to maintain even a veneer of legitimacy.

**Aggregation of Title, Combination of Trusteeships, Inappropriate Aggregated Consultation**  
By what authority has the Council combined the Trusteeship of the two sites, the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds and the Pool Sites? The entire proposition has been presented to citizens as a unitary prospect. However, there should be a clear and distinct process of managing the Appropriation for each site separately.

The Council have chosen to submit a planning application linking the two sites to meet various statutory obligations for social housing. However, Ian Edwards has repeatedly and emphatically stated that the Appropriation and Planning Processes are independent. If this were true, then there should also be to independent consultations with one covering each of the covenanted sites.

**Geographically Disjointed Sites; Inappropriate Aggregation of Social Housing Mandates**  
The Council has chosen a most unique planning process under which two geographically distinct sites are aggregated for the purposes of satisfying social housing obligations. This is inappropriate. Social housing planning goals are not just to provide housing, but to integrate it into the community.

Social housing is meant to be interspersed with a development. By having one site all private and the other all social, Hillingdon continues its de facto policy of ghettoization and over concentration of housing, just as is seen with other social housing projects and the concentrations of HMOs.

The burden on the Southern wards is disproportionate. Are we to assume that nobody needs social housing North of the A40? And, if geographically distinct developments are allowed to be aggregated for the purpose of satisfying social housing obligations, then nothing stops Hillingdon building fancy single family homes in rich neighbourhoods then offsetting their social obligations by continuing the overcrowding of its most deprived wards.

Proposed appropriation of public open land at two sites of Yiewsley Recreation Grounds /

Pool Sites.

The entirety of this exercise is a shameless exploitation of the people of Yiewsley facilitated by disenfranchisement and violations of human rights: putting Yiewsley Residents last.

The Council has simultaneously attempted to assert that the Appropriation and Planning Processes are independent. Yet, repeatedly they blur these lines as best suits. In the event, with land being appropriated for planning purposes with those plans already having been submitted and closed for comment, the Appropriation process stands all the more absurd. The Council have published no terms of reference for this process, therein violating a principle of natural justice that the purpose and process of proceedings should be publicised, public and clear.

The Bowling Green

With the main entrance to the Yiewsley Recreation Ground closed, the Bowling Green will be as the Council originally intended in its 2017 plans, a de facto part of the new construction. Access to the Bowling Green is made through the Library Site. Remaining access involves diversion in excess of 100 metres.

Without the lands at the Library site which have already claimed inappropriately by the Council, the future of the Bowling Green is unsupported and unsupportable. There can be no replacement facility to support activities on that land. The Bowling Green is orphaned space that better serves the new private residents of the stolen appropriated lands than the citizens of Yiewsley and Hillingdon. Should citizens manage to gain access to the Bowling Ground, they will be overpowered by a new structure, overlooked by residents on balconies and roof gardens, and meet with other's who's own ground level gardens back to the Bowling Green. There can be no peace afforded to the public. And, especially in the case of children, the area is now completely overlooked with no consideration for safeguarding.

The Main Recreation Grounds

The new residences at the Pool Site will literally cast a shadow upon the remainder of the

park. The people's experience of the remaining lands is forever diminished. Anyone walking the main path will be a few meters away from people on their balconies and roof top gardens. Instead of a stroll around the park, the people become inmates let into the yard for exercise under the eye of the guards. And, from what was once the people's land, a handful of people shall have the privilege of overlooking the park. The Council, for its own greedy purposes, is granting permissions that it would not grant to any other property development. The Council prohibits other residents from even having Juliette Balconies. Yet, when it comes to intrusion for the Council's profit, different rules are to be applied.

#### Mental Health and General Well Being, Seasonal Affective Disorder

It is absurd to frame the perceived impact of these appropriations in terms of the just the lands to be seized themselves. The remaining lands will forever be diminished in utility and aesthetics, with substantial area reserved to private residents.

There has been no evaluation of the mental health impacts of these appropriations / building proposals. I have spoken with, and I know others who have spoken with, people who describe the park as their "lifeline". They have expressed great anxiety at the proposed changes but are also incapable of representing themselves in this process.

I am better positioned to speak of my own experience. I am autistic, prone to extreme, chronic depression, general and social anxiety and suffer severely from Seasonal Affective Disorder. I am also mobility impaired. When I am able to do so, the Yiewsley Recreation Ground affords the opportunity to take in the morning sun with a quiet stroll or wheelchair journey, without the anxiety of being overlooked. In the early hours of a winter day, the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds are vibrant with people seeking that morning commune with the sun.

Development of the Pool site will forever rob people of an essential function of the remaining Recreation Grounds.

The proposals made herein are materially detrimental to my own health and well-being. And, the park will be forever diminished in the critical role it plays for thousands of people.

The Council has a Duty of Care to the community to formally assess the mental health impacts.

And, to do so in an open, transparent and consultative manner.

#### Yiewsley Management Plan – Escaping Pollution and Noise

- o Appropriation would close the main entrance to the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds. As is stated in Council's own Yiewsley Recreation Ground Management Plan, the main entrance for the YRG is through that entrance on Falling Lane that has dedicated car parking for Library users and are currently subject for appropriation.

- o Access to the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds via the main entrance is what allows pedestrians to avoid the pollution of the High Street, therein critical for physical and mental health and well-being.

- o This plan is prepared by Hillingdon Borough's own Green Spaces team who are uniquely qualified to have made that determination of main entrance and themselves assert the value of "...using the park as an alternative cut through or simply as a retreat from the

busy day to day life”

- o To use the remaining alternative gate, people will be diverted nearing one hundred meters and in that diversion forced to endure more of the chaos, pollution and noise of the A408 inside a new pollution canyon at the already intolerable and overloaded junction of the A408 (Falling Lane) and the High Street.

- o Citizen science for the site reports NO2 levels significantly higher than those modelled for the Planning Application. And the Planning Application is deficient in modelling for anticipated development when it is only required to consider approved development.

Rights of Way/Access

- o Appropriation of open spaces at the Library site would extinguish clear Rights of Way that have clearly existed, unchallenged, since 1926, nearly 100-years. Earlier maps suggest no prior hindrance of access and investigation of deeds is likely to affirmatively demonstrate earlier access. The public currently enjoys the rights of access through the main entrance to the park. Residents currently enjoy an unfettered right of way over the lands to be appropriated at the Library site, leading to the high street, Trout Road, the Tesco superstore and heading towards Rabbs Farm school. Access to the park through that land is critical for residents living or accessing that area of Yiewsley.

kkenety – appropriation objections 9

- o The preponderance of the land at the Library site is paved and used for parking. A

Parking

lot in a Park is still a Park (NPPF, revised 2021). Various Councillors including current Leader of the Council, Ian Edwards, have persisted in referring to this as a brownfield site. It is not (again, by NPPF). De facto, it is not on the Brownfield Register.

Green Chain

- o The Council appears to have removed the Library site from the “Green Chain”. However, there are no material circumstances to justify such a decision. The right to traverse the entirety of the park, and specifically to cross at the gate at the Library site, is what enables people to avoid the pollution and congestion of the High Street and the A408 and to continue to/from green spaces via the Philpots’ open space.

- o The people of Yiewsley had no effective representation in this process as it is clearly evidenced that their own representatives have at all times been bent to the will of their political party.

Disenfranchisement in Local Plan

Furthermore, in this matter, I assert the general argument that the people of Yiewsley have suffered systematic disenfranchisement and were not afforded representation in this process with a new and transparent consultation, under the supervision of the planning inspectorate, where the people of Yiewsley have the representation that they are afforded under Hillingdon’s own Constitution.

Village Green / Site of Special Local Importance

Had Yiewsley had been served with fidelity by competent Counsellors, they would have sought to see that the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds be given a protected status, e.g. as the Village Green and Site of Special Local Importance that it de facto is.

Has Yiewsley been served with fidelity by competent Counsellors, they would have seen that the Pool Site was given a formal status such as Metropolitan Open Land that

recognised that it is, in fact, a park and refuted the disingenuous argument that it is a Brownfield site. Instead of establishing these de jure protections, Yiewsley's Counsellors were at all times beholden to their political masters who coveted the profits to be made by seizing them from the people. Hillingdon will deprive thousands of people, to benefit barely one hundred, but obtain immense capital and revenue flows by means of this theft appropriation.

#### Disability concerns

The Parking lot portion of the YRG Park facilitates on Falling Lane support the usability of YRG facilities. This same argument was made in the LBH application to the Secretary of State in 1971 which first allowed the creation of parking in that area to enhance, in part, library services. However, in the event, parking at that site has created necessary accessibility to the YRG for families with young children, the elderly (e.g. Brown Badge holders) and the disabled (e.g. Blue Badge holders).

- Each of these is a protected class. There is no evidence of any consideration of the Disability or Equality Acts.
- I am, myself, a member of the last class. I have at times only been able to access that corner of the park because of the availability of Parking.
- Most blue badge holders cannot reliably cover 20 metres in distance.
- Appropriation of the Library area will deny disabled (and other classes) access to much of the remaining YRG.
- I strongly object to the reduction in access to this open space for disabled people and demand that there be an Equality review undertaken in transparent and consultative manner.

#### High Street Regeneration

- o The existence of parking spaces for the access to Yiewsley Recreation Grounds is important to the High Street. Yiewsley High Street was once identified as the most depressed in the UK. Little has been done to turn that around. This appropriation proposal will have a negative impact on the remaining businesses.
- o The Appropriation must formally consider the detrimental impact on the High Street. The Council has multiple formal policies that demand consideration in this area.
- o The High Street remains weak. And, the Council has no plans to support it.
- o Local businesses have limited say in this Appropriation process. Hillingdon undermines them whilst they have been disenfranchised of their right to object or petition.

#### Historical open space

There is a de facto argument that these lands are, and will always be, necessary for open space purposes. When Yiewsley Recreation Grounds were established in 1926/7 they were subject to the conditions that the land be "for the purposes of public walks and pleasure gardens on Trust to the Urban District Council. At the time the Yiewsley Recreation Grounds and Otterfield Sites were established, Yiewsley Ward had a small fraction of its current population, yet the demand for dedicated space deeded to forever remain open was deemed a paramount undertaking during this interwar period.



The premise of the Appropriation is that the lands are surplus to requirements and “not needed to facilitate open space purposes” wherein the Council’s own open spaces report has identified Yiewsley as requiring MORE open spaces.

- o There is no independence of process and therefore this violates natural justice.
- o The Council has illegally boarded off the green field where there was a Pool making it inaccessible to the public. Once the site had been cleared by demolition, the site was as a matter of law under the NPPF returned to a status of open space whether or not the Council allowed people access.
- o There is no relevant safety argument at any of these locations. None of the sites were perfectly secured and they have been accessed multiple times by myself and others and found to be safe and desirable as part of our open spaces.

The Council’s illegal actions do not establish that the land is not required as open space. This attempted pattern of appropriation by fiat of lock and key has also occurred with locking the Public out of the Bowling Green for a minimum of 5 years.

- These actions of active denial to the Public of their land do not support an assertion that the lands are not required as open space.

- By way of analogy, the Council has acted as if a developer wishing to redevelop a Grade III site; the listed property is allowed to degrade until it is unsafe and must be demolished therein rendering the property real for development options free of the conservation shackles.

- The Council simply cannot deny access such that a space cannot be used by the public and then assert that therefore, the space is not required.

Public Access denied

- The Bowling Green was a target in the 2017 Cabinet development plans. The removal of the public facility for the bowling club did not provide reason for people to have been denied access to the Green save the disingenuous advancement of the false argument that the land therefore not be required.

- Yiewsley Ward Councilor Shehryar Ahmad-Wallana was asked on multiple occasions by residents to secure keys for these areas (Bowling Green and Pool Site) so that the citizens of

Hillingdon could enjoy their own land.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Evidence available upon request.

- Cllr Ahmad-Wallana first represented that he had requested such keys and later asserted that he was unable to secure said keys. If a Cllr cannot secure access for the people, then there is clearly a significant council policy for denying citizens access. I submit that denying

people access to lands has been used to disingenuously advance the Council’s fallacious argument for appropriation.

- Indeed, the Council seems so determined to deprive Yiewsley access to their open spaces that, without explanation or any apparent purpose, the Council has recently locked the people out of the Skate Park!

Affirmative Use of Appropriation Sites:

Community Groups have made a point of making access to the Pool Site. And, when it was

stated as part of the planned appropriations, the Bowling Green. We have also protested the removal of the community hut from the Library site and the Council's creeping appropriation of Park Land for designated parking unrelated to the Park.

Once again, any assertion that these lands are disused and/or not of significant value to the community are patently false. The Council's own illegal actions are what have left these lands under-utilised. The Council cannot be afforded arguments to advance their appropriation that originate in their illegal actions.

#### Misrepresentations

This process would be less desirable if this theft Appropriation from the people wasn't further coloured by repeated misrepresentations. This is park land. It is cowardly to not say so. In the saga of Yiewsley Recreation Grounds and Pool Site appropriations, the ongoing repetition of misrepresentation and other lies do not make them true. The Council lacks a mechanism by which such matters can be compelled to correction.

However, the courts do not.

Repeated misrepresentations have been made to colour sites as disused. However, I have obtained records through the Freedom of Information Act that show that Hillingdon's closure of the old pool was not in keeping with either public policy or the statements made by senior council Members. Hillingdon willfully deprived Yiewsley of needed community assets, failed to report their actions to Sport England and ignored the reports prepared by Sport England on how the people of Yiewsley would remain materially deprived even without closure of the pool. Hillingdon sent more money to alternative locations that are functionally inaccessible to the people of Yiewsley than it would have cost to build a new community pool on that site.

Hillingdon's plans for a health centre and gym at the site would at least have had some community value. But, the mismanagement of that project was covered over with lies about how it failed. But, the Council never considered returning the land to the people. The only disuse of the site is through the mismanagement of Hillingdon Council as they advanced seizure of these lands over the last decade. Indeed, it appears that all decisions have been coloured by planned seizure of this covenanted site since at least 2009.

Therein, all community efforts to reclaim their land were rebuffed through process designed to give the appearance of consultation, without the reality thereof.

This Appropriation consultation is in every way reminiscent of the lies of the last decade.

#### Commercial Intent without Commercial Integrity

As noted in the section titled "Democratic compliance/ previous authority" "Cabinet on 18<sup>th</sup> May 2017 authorised the development of the sites for residential development.

o Under section 123 of the Local Government Act of 1973, the council has the obligation to obtain the best value consideration that can reasonably be obtained for these lands.

- The Council is undertaking private transfer of these lands unto itself without any market discovery of prices. This is characteristic of the opaque decision making. If the citizens are to be expropriated of their own property, then they can and do demand receipt of maximum consideration.

- These lands, if appropriated for planning purposes as described, must be put up for public auction

- The fact that auction is not mooted further evidences the inevitability of

outcomes with the Council approving their own plans, developed in the shadows, and not made for the maximum benefit of citizens.

o Health and wellbeing value for communities in need of extra recreational facilities and open land needs to be factored into these considerations.

· The Council have made no offering of their relative criteria for this process.

o According to the [Report] the main purpose was to “facilitate the development and sale of the land” without consultation with the public beneficiaries.

o “Disposal of this site is expected to secure a substantial Capital Receipt” Private sale of public lands is not a primary reason for selling public land as per NPPF (revised 2021).

o The Council has already substantially demonstrated a core incompetence in management of development activity by way of the Packet Boat House fiasco where poor building quality was ignored leaving the whole site needing to be closed off putting residents into other accommodation whilst the flats were rebuilt.

o Evidence that the Council was informed of the poor quality and still went ahead with purchasing the buildings for £10m is currently being brought to a public enquiry.

The Concessionary Non-Development Covenant is Subject to Reversion

Recent HM revenue litigation has confirmed that a covenant granted for non-development of land has material value. The heirs of the original grantor for the Pool Site will be able to demand compensation for this change in use of property. The value, imputed from 1936 to today, is likely to be substantial. This has not been considered or broached in any discussion of the appropriation with may bring substantial liability to the Council.

The Council has Ignored its Own Consultation Policies

Appropriation Terms of Reference

o The Gazette Appropriation Notice says that to consider any objection, public must write, in 2021 this traditional method makes no sense. All Council office departments have email addresses. Writing discriminates against users unable to get out especially in a pandemic for ill health or any other reason.

o Alternative options were suggested and rejected. Cabinet could have decided not to progress the appropriation of land, but this would not have enabled the progression of these sites to be developed.

o Public have not had any access to Ward councillors who knew what is being proposed and has excluded people from their rights.

o Planning Law through the SCI makes the ward councillors a critical part of the having a legal planning process. This has not happened.

o Public notice has been minimal, wrapping notices in location far from the appropriation sites is dishonest in the extreme.

o It has been observed that the Council’s social media team has mislead members of the public in terms of the combined Appropriation and Planning Processes. No mention was given concerning benefits the public will lose through the appropriation.

o Alternative options for regeneration of public open space have been offered and rejected.

Cabinet could decide not to progress the appropriation of land, leading developers to other brownfield sites in the locality. Under the Local development framework, community involvement in determining their public spaces is recognised best practice. I heartily recommend this course of action to redeem public faith pre 2022 elections.

# Stop Hillingdon Council Taking Land in Yiewsley

A Petition on Change.Org

27 June 2023



Hillingdon Council are trying to take community owned land in Yiewsley and build flats on it. We do not want to lose Yiewsley Library at its present location. We do not want housing built on the former Yiewsley Swimming Pool site.

The land was purchased with a covenant which said the land should be held "...for the purposes of Public Walks and Pleasure Grounds." The land could be used for preparing young people for GCSE Natural History. Or simply as space for local people to run around on. Following Covid, it is vital for mental health to have green spaces.

As at 12:00, 27 June 2023, the following 553 signatories to this petition object to Hillingdon Council's proposal to appropriate this land from residents and also their development proposals for the Library and Swimming Pool sites.

	West Drayton	England	UB7 8PF	UK	09/06/2023
	HAYES	England	UB4 8HP	UK	09/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	Ub100hw	UK	09/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 2HD	UK	09/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	09/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8PF	UK	10/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 2HE	UK	10/06/2023
	Swansea		Sa4	UK	10/06/2023
	Hull	England	HU13 9DS	UK	10/06/2023
	Leeds		LS13	UK	10/06/2023
	England			UK	10/06/2023
	Southend-on-sea		SS0	UK	10/06/2023
	Stanmore		HA7	UK	10/06/2023
	Harlow	England	CM20	UK	10/06/2023
	Ferndown	England	BH22	UK	10/06/2023
	Greenford		UB6	UK	10/06/2023
	London	England	sw12	UK	10/06/2023
	Brent		NW2	UK	10/06/2023
	Plymouth	England	PL5 4LW	UK	10/06/2023
	Edgware		HA8	UK	10/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7TR	UK	10/06/2023
	Edinburgh	Scotland	eh151le	UK	10/06/2023
	Brighton		CA2	UK	10/06/2023
	Oxford		OX2	UK	10/06/2023
	Hayes		Ub3	UK	10/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	London	England	SE7 7EZ	UK	10/06/2023
				UK	10/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	10/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	10/06/2023
	Cardiff	Wales; Cymru	cf24 1pd	UK	10/06/2023
	Worthing	England	Bn14 8bw	UK	10/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 8LG	UK	10/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	10/06/2023
	Harefield, Uxbridge, Middlesex	England	UB9 6EG	UK	10/06/2023
	London		EC4R	UK	10/06/2023
	Perth		PH2	UK	10/06/2023
	yiewsley	England	ub7 8bu	UK	10/06/2023
	Ruislip	England	HA4 8PY	UK	11/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB77ST	UK	11/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	11/06/2023
	London	England	UB7 8DE	UK	11/06/2023
	Ruislip	England	HA4 6LL	UK	11/06/2023
	Yiewsley		Ub7	UK	11/06/2023
	Eltham		SE9	UK	11/06/2023
	London	England	SW4 8JG	UK	11/06/2023
	Wembley		HA9	UK	11/06/2023
	London	England	UB8 1PS	UK	11/06/2023
				UK	11/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	11/06/2023
	London	England	NW10	UK	11/06/2023
	Teignmouth	England	TQ14	UK	11/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Uxbridge	England	UB109BN	UK	11/06/2023
	Iver Heath	England	SL00RE	UK	11/06/2023
	Ruislip	England	HA4 0DR	UK	11/06/2023
	Wiltshire	England	Sn11 9fh	UK	11/06/2023
	Welwyn Garden City		AL7	UK	11/06/2023
	gillingham	England	me 7 4pz	UK	12/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	ub7 8bt	UK	12/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	Ub8 3sy	UK	12/06/2023
	Northwood		H6 2tf	UK	12/06/2023
	Rochester		ME2	UK	12/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	Ub8 3sy	UK	12/06/2023
	Mockba	England	Ub8 9fg	UK	12/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	12/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8AR	UK	12/06/2023
				UK	13/06/2023
	Chichester	England	PO19	UK	13/06/2023
	West Drayton middlsex	England	UB7 8DQ	UK	13/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	13/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub77fu	UK	13/06/2023
	Lymington		SO41 8BY	UK	13/06/2023
	Blackburn		BB12AF	UK	13/06/2023
	Louth		LN11 9JG	UK	13/06/2023
	Middleton	England	M24 4BQ	UK	13/06/2023
	Durham		DH1 5XH	UK	13/06/2023
	Clapham	England	SW4 8AR	UK	13/06/2023
	Cwmbran		NP44 8TG	UK	13/06/2023
	Sutton Coldfield		B76	UK	13/06/2023



Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	London		EC2V	UK	13/06/2023
	Kingsbridge	England	Tq7 1ly	UK	13/06/2023
	Newcastle Upon Tyne		NE2	UK	13/06/2023
	Dundee	Scotland	DD36DW	UK	13/06/2023
	Leicester		LE4	UK	13/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	13/06/2023
	London	England	W7 2AE	UK	13/06/2023
	Sutton	England	SM3 8dr	UK	13/06/2023
	Bognor Regis	England	PO21 3UR	UK	13/06/2023
	Malton	England	YO17	UK	13/06/2023
	Bath	England	BA2 0DZ	UK	13/06/2023
	Salisbury		SP1	UK	13/06/2023
	Edinburgh	Scotland	EH4 1NG	UK	13/06/2023
	Cambridge		CB1	UK	13/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	13/06/2023
	Croft	England	Pe244ry	UK	13/06/2023
	West Moors	England	bh22 0ap	UK	13/06/2023
	Wentnor	England	sy9 5ep	UK	13/06/2023
	Leeds	England	LS12	UK	13/06/2023
	Lewisham	England	SE13 5EL	UK	14/06/2023
	Yiewsley		UB7	UK	14/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	14/06/2023
	London		EC4N	UK	14/06/2023
	Coventry		CV1	UK	14/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	14/06/2023
	Hayes		UB4	UK	14/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7AA	UK	15/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Hounslow		TW5	UK	15/06/2023
	West Drayton Middx	England	UB77TZ	UK	15/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	16/06/2023
	Pitstone		LU7	UK	16/06/2023
	London		EC4R	UK	17/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB49AP	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB79HW	UK	17/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	17/06/2023
	Northwood	England	HA61JJ	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7EP	UK	17/06/2023
	Poplar	England	E14	UK	17/06/2023
	Manor Park	England	E12	UK	17/06/2023
	Edgware		HA8	UK	17/06/2023
	Ickenham	England	UB10 8NX	UK	17/06/2023
	Poplar	England	E14	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8PE	UK	17/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub79hb	UK	17/06/2023
	Staines	England	TW18	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 9AS	UK	17/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3SL	UK	17/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	17/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	17/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7RJ	UK	17/06/2023
	Chennai		600042	India	17/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Rickmansworth		WD3	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	ub77nx	UK	17/06/2023
	Manor Park	England	E12	UK	17/06/2023
	Grays		RM17 6QZ	UK	17/06/2023
	Liverpool		L18 1DY	UK	17/06/2023
	Derby		-	UK	17/06/2023
	Wem		SY4 5ED	UK	17/06/2023
	Great Yarmouth		NR31 8HJ	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub78pe	UK	17/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	17/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	Ub83px	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	17/06/2023
	London		EC2V	UK	17/06/2023
	Ickenham	England	UB10 8hl	UK	17/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8AX	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	17/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7FZ	UK	17/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	17/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	17/06/2023
	Greenford		UB6	UK	17/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3DD	UK	18/06/2023
	West drayton	England	Ub77ah	UK	18/06/2023
	London	England	ub7 8pe	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	18/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	WEST DRAYTON	England	Ub7 8hg	UK	18/06/2023
	Yiewsley		Ub7	UK	18/06/2023
	Southall		UB1	UK	18/06/2023
	Hayes		UB4	UK	18/06/2023
	Birmingham	England	B18	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	18/06/2023
	Bushey	England	WD23	UK	18/06/2023
	Northwood		HA6	UK	18/06/2023
	WEST DRAYTON	England	UB7 8BP	UK	18/06/2023
	Croydon		CR0	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	18/06/2023
	London		WC1X	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub78lg	UK	18/06/2023
				UK	18/06/2023
	Ramsey	England	PE26	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3TJ	UK	18/06/2023
	King's Lynn	England	PE30 1DD	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	18/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	18/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB4 0NB	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub7 7aj	UK	18/06/2023
	hayes	England	UB31EL	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	18/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	ub77ry	UK	18/06/2023
	Camberwell		SE5	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge		Ub8	UK	18/06/2023
	Harrow	England	ha1 2dr	UK	18/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Ely		CB6	UK	18/06/2023
	Ruislip	England	HA4 9NS	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	18/06/2023
	Pinner		HA5	UK	18/06/2023
	Hayes	England	ub32dg	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB77GF	UK	18/06/2023
	London	England	UB4 8PZ	UK	18/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	Ub83sy	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7AJ	UK	18/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	18/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	18/06/2023
	Edinburgh		EH14 1TJ	UK	18/06/2023
	London		N11 1PB	UK	18/06/2023
	Port Talbot		SA13 2BE	UK	19/06/2023
	ASCOT	England	SL5 0AY	UK	19/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB3 3JB	UK	19/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 8PE	UK	19/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	19/06/2023
	hayes	England	ub3 3jb	UK	19/06/2023
	Swindon		SN3	UK	19/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW4	UK	19/06/2023
	Ickenham	England	ub108af	UK	19/06/2023
	Gerrards cross	England	SL9 7QT	UK	19/06/2023
	Oakdale	Wales; Cymru	NP12	UK	19/06/2023
	Hemel Hempstead		HP3	UK	19/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	19/06/2023
	Bethnal Green		E2	UK	19/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	19/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW5	UK	19/06/2023
	Southall		UB1	UK	19/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	19/06/2023
	London		UP7 7UP	UK	19/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7UQ	UK	19/06/2023
	Motherwell		ML1	UK	19/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	19/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	19/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	19/06/2023
	Lambeth	England	W11	UK	19/06/2023
	Bath	England	Ba1 6jg	UK	19/06/2023
	London		WC1X	UK	19/06/2023
	Bournemouth		BH8	UK	19/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 8AH	UK	19/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	19/06/2023
	Hayes		BR2	UK	19/06/2023
	Yiewsley		UB7	UK	20/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	20/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	20/06/2023
	Brixton		SW2	UK	20/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	20/06/2023
	UXBridge	England	Ub8 2AU	UK	20/06/2023
	London	England	UB78EU	UK	20/06/2023
	High wycombe	England	HP12 4ST	UK	20/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Hayes		UB3	UK	20/06/2023
	Southall		UB1	UK	21/06/2023
	St John's Wood		NW8	UK	21/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	21/06/2023
	Kinloss	Scotland	IV36 3XZ	UK	21/06/2023
	London	England	CR8 5DG	UK	21/06/2023
	Hayes		UB4	UK	21/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	21/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	21/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	21/06/2023
	Cricklewood		NW2	UK	21/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	21/06/2023
	London	England	Ub78fd	UK	21/06/2023
	Hanwell		W7	UK	21/06/2023
	Portsmouth		PO1	UK	21/06/2023
	Ruislip	England	HA4 7UQ	UK	21/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	21/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7AQ	UK	21/06/2023
	High Wycombe	England	HP13	UK	21/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB4 9JQ	UK	21/06/2023
	West drayton		Ub7	UK	21/06/2023
	london	England	e14 5dy	UK	21/06/2023
	Harrow		HA4	UK	21/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	21/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	22/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	22/06/2023
	Isleworth		TW7	UK	22/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	London		EC4N	UK	22/06/2023
	Feltham	England	TW14	UK	22/06/2023
	London	England	TW14 9SB	UK	22/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	23/06/2023
	Hayes		UB4	UK	23/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB3 3JS	UK	23/06/2023
	Uxbridge		Ub8	UK	23/06/2023
	Watford	England	WD19	UK	23/06/2023
	Solihull	England	B92	UK	23/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 7RE	UK	23/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8PE	UK	23/06/2023
	Harrow on the Hill		HA1	UK	23/06/2023
	Shrewsbury		SY1	UK	23/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	UB10 9AD	UK	23/06/2023
	Kilburn		NW6	UK	23/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	23/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	23/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	23/06/2023
	London		EC4N	UK	23/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	ub10 9et	UK	23/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	23/06/2023
	London		EC4N	UK	23/06/2023
	London	England	Ub108tj	UK	23/06/2023
	London		EC2V	UK	23/06/2023
	Greenford		UB6	UK	23/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	UB8 3NY	UK	23/06/2023
	MÃ;laga		29010	Spain	23/06/2023



Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	West Drayton	England	Ub79lg	UK	24/06/2023
	Isleworth		TW7	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8LD	UK	24/06/2023
				UK	24/06/2023
	Watford	England	WD18	UK	24/06/2023
	middlesex	England	ub8 3lu	UK	24/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 2TX	UK	24/06/2023
	London			UK	24/06/2023
	Harefield		90245	UK	24/06/2023
	London	England	UB8 3NU	UK	24/06/2023
	Leeds	England	LS98	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	24/06/2023
	West drayton	England	UB7 7AT	UK	24/06/2023
	Wrexham		LL13	UK	24/06/2023
	Henley on Thames		RG9	UK	24/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 7RN	UK	24/06/2023
	Yiewsley		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	Nottingham		NG1 4BU	UK	24/06/2023
	Trowbridge		BA14	UK	24/06/2023
	erith		DA8	UK	24/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7XN	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7FL	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8DQ	UK	24/06/2023
	London		EC4M	UK	24/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
				UK	24/06/2023
	Yiewsley		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	WEST DRAYTON	England	UB7 8HF	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	London	England	HA2 9UU	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW4	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB83LP	UK	24/06/2023
	Iver	England	SL0 0NS	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7RJ	UK	24/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8AR	UK	24/06/2023
	Yiewsley		Ub7	UK	24/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	24/06/2023
	Southall		UB1	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	24/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 7RN	UK	24/06/2023
	Pinner		HA5	UK	24/06/2023
	Edinburgh		EH1	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW4	UK	24/06/2023
	Maidenhead		SL6 2dr	Gambia	24/06/2023
	Gerrards Cross		SL9	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 2PT	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7RD	UK	24/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Newcastle upon Tyne	England	NE98	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	ub10 0dr	UK	24/06/2023
	Hayes		UB4	UK	24/06/2023
	Blackburn		BB1	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW5	UK	24/06/2023
	Hayes		BR2	UK	24/06/2023
	Eastbourne	England	BN22	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	24/06/2023
	London	England	Ub83sl	UK	24/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub7 8pe	UK	24/06/2023
	Slough	England	SL3 8SY	UK	24/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB3 2TT	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	Newbury		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	Manchester		M1	UK	24/06/2023
	Hayes	England	ub3 2dg	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3AQ	UK	24/06/2023
				UK	24/06/2023
	London		E9	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	24/06/2023
	Putney	England	SW15	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	24/06/2023
	Saint Albans		AL1	UK	24/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	ub7 8es	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	24/06/2023
	Stoke-on-trent		ST3	UK	24/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Slough		SL1	UK	24/06/2023
	Wigan		WN1	UK	24/06/2023
	Norwich	England	NR2 4BB	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	Ub7 8es	UK	24/06/2023
	portsmouth		po89rs	UK	24/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3JE	UK	24/06/2023
	Glasgow	Scotland	G22	UK	24/06/2023
	Kettering	England	NN15	UK	24/06/2023
	Croydon		Cr0 1tq	UK	24/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW5	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	25/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 7RB	UK	25/06/2023
	Faversham	England	ME13	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Brundall		NR13 5LD	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Ashford	England	TN24	UK	25/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	UB8 3HB	UK	25/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7NU	UK	25/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	25/06/2023
	Highgate		N6	UK	25/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	25/06/2023
	Yiewsley, West Drayton	England	UB7 8ES	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	Watford	England	WD24	UK	25/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Bermondsey		SE1	UK	25/06/2023
	London		SE18 2BS	UK	25/06/2023
	Peterborough		PE4	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Hayes	England	UB3 1PZ	UK	25/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 7RB	UK	25/06/2023
	Ickenham	England	UB10 8NE	UK	25/06/2023
	London		EC4R	UK	25/06/2023
	Wembley		HA9	UK	25/06/2023
	Staines	England	TW18	UK	25/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	Ub10 0hp	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10 0AD	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	London	England	UB5 6FX	UK	25/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	Ub77tt	UK	25/06/2023
	slough	England	sl1-3en	UK	25/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7 7FIL	UK	25/06/2023
	Yiewsley	England	UB7 8AX	UK	25/06/2023
	Manchester	England	M60	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 2TW	UK	25/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	25/06/2023
	Hayes. middlesex	England	ub49ex	UK	25/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Hayes	England	UB3 1JZ	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Liverpool		L19	UK	25/06/2023
	Tintagel		PL34	UK	25/06/2023
	Camberwell		SE5	UK	25/06/2023
	London	England	W42HQ	UK	25/06/2023
	Shetland Islands		ZE2	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB10	UK	25/06/2023
	Worthing		BN13	UK	25/06/2023
	Wembury		PL9 0JH	UK	25/06/2023
	blackpool		fy3 9ds	UK	25/06/2023
	London	England	Ub7 8aj	UK	25/06/2023
	Sale	England	M33	UK	25/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	25/06/2023
	Hayes		UB4	UK	25/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	Henley-on-Thames	England	Rg9 5ry	UK	25/06/2023
	Worcester		WR2 5QQ	UK	25/06/2023
	London		E13	UK	25/06/2023
	Bethnal Green		E2	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	Banbury		OX16	UK	25/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	25/06/2023
	Drayton		UB7	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	ub82nn	UK	25/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Southall		Ub8	UK	25/06/2023
	Yiewsley		UB7	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	25/06/2023
		England	sl3 7jh	UK	25/06/2023
	London		EC4N	UK	25/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	Ub10 9DD	UK	26/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW4	UK	26/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 9FF	UK	26/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	26/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 9FQ	UK	26/06/2023
	Paddington		W2	UK	26/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	26/06/2023
	London	England	UB78LD	UK	26/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	26/06/2023
	Yewsley	England	UB7 7FX	UK	26/06/2023
	Hayes	England	Ub10	UK	26/06/2023
	Edgware		HA8	UK	26/06/2023
	Yiewsley ,West Drayton	England	UB7 7FX	UK	26/06/2023
	Barcelona		8027	Spain	26/06/2023
	Burnham-on-Sea		TA8	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3QA	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	ub83qe	UK	26/06/2023
	Manor Park	England	E12	UK	26/06/2023
	Southwark		SE1	UK	26/06/2023
	Pulborough	England	RH20	UK	26/06/2023

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Southall		UB1	UK	26/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	26/06/2023
	Southall		UB1	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	26/06/2023
	London		EC4N	UK	26/06/2023
	WestDrayton		UB7	UK	26/06/2023
	Southwark		SE1	UK	26/06/2023
	WEST DRAYTON HARMONDSWORTH		Ub7	UK	26/06/2023
	Maidenhead		SL6	UK	26/06/2023
	Greenford		UB6	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB7 9EX	UK	26/06/2023
	Magherafelt	Northern Ireland	BT45 7JT	UK	26/06/2023
	Mansfield		NG19	UK	26/06/2023
	Irton		ca19 1td	UK	26/06/2023
	Hounslow		TW3	UK	26/06/2023
				UK	26/06/2023
	Leeds		LS11	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	26/06/2023
	Feltham	England	TW13	UK	26/06/2023
	London		E9	UK	26/06/2023
	Gerrards Cross		SL9	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB7	UK	26/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 8EU	UK	26/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	26/06/2023
	Hammersmith		W6	UK	26/06/2023
	Edgware		HA8	UK	26/06/2023



Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
	Lincoln	England	ln2 4rt	UK	26/06/2023
	Hillingdon	England	UB10 0EB	UK	26/06/2023
	Watford	England	WD18	UK	26/06/2023
	Worcester		WR5	UK	26/06/2023
	Feltham	England	TW14	UK	26/06/2023
	Watford	England	WD18	UK	26/06/2023
	Harrow		HA2	UK	26/06/2023
	West Drayton		UB7	UK	26/06/2023
	London		EC4N	UK	26/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	26/06/2023
	Ruislip		HA4	UK	26/06/2023
	Rugby	England	CV21 1JF	UK	26/06/2023
	westdrayton	England	ub7 8ae	UK	26/06/2023
	London	England	Ub7 7ue	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	ub7 9al	UK	26/06/2023
	Greenford		UB6	UK	26/06/2023
	Cowley	England	Ub83sh	UK	26/06/2023
	COWLEY		UB8	UK	26/06/2023
	Wokingham	England	RG40	UK	26/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	26/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	ub83dn	UK	26/06/2023
	Northolt		UB5	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge	England	UB8 3NU	UK	26/06/2023
	Hayes		UB3	UK	26/06/2023
	Molesey		KT8	UK	26/06/2023
	Slough		SL1	UK	26/06/2023

<b>Name</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Postal Code</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Signed On</b>
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	26/06/2023
	Uxbridge		UB8	UK	26/06/2023
	Watford	England	Ws180rd	UK	26/06/2023
	London		ub8 376	UK	26/06/2023
	London		NW1	UK	26/06/2023
	West Drayton	England	UB7 9DH	UK	27/06/2023
	London	England	TW1 2DY	UK	27/06/2023
	Hayes	England	ub4 9ut	UK	27/06/2023

## Petition - Hillingdon Council are planning to build flats on Yiewsley Recreation Ground. Residents are campaigning to stop this

Name	Address	Postcode	Email address	Date
	BUSHEY ROAD	UB3 4AT		10/06/2023 05:16
	BUSHEY ROAD	UB3 4AT		10/06/2023 05:16
		UB7 7JZ		10/06/2023 06:21
	Ferrers Avenue	UB7 7AA		10/06/2023 06:29
	acacia avenue, Yiewsley	UB7 8LN		10/06/2023 06:30
	acacia avenue, Yiewsley	UB7 8LN		10/06/2023 06:30
	Bushey Road	UB3 4AT		10/06/2023 07:04
	Dawley Road, Hayes	UB31LT		10/06/2023 09:38
		UB7 7FR		10/06/2023 11:15
	Colham avenue	Ub78eu		10/06/2023 13:53
	ferrers avenue	Ub77aa		10/06/2023 13:54
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8pe		10/06/2023 16:13
	Fairfield Road Yiewsley	UB7 8EY		11/06/2023 00:22
	Victoria Road	HA4 0DR		11/06/2023 19:34
	Victoria Road	HA4 0DR		11/06/2023 19:34
	Elm Avenue	HA4 8PE		11/06/2023 19:35
	Cowley Road uxbridge	UB8 2DA		11/06/2023 21:37
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		12/06/2023 13:39
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		12/06/2023 13:40
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		12/06/2023 13:42
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		12/06/2023 13:42
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		12/06/2023 13:42
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		12/06/2023 16:21
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		16/06/2023 08:04
	Otterfield Road	UB7 8PE		16/06/2023 08:04

### Additional information sent with petition by Lead petitioner:

Hillingdon Council are planning to build flats on Yiewsley Recreation Ground. Residents are campaigning to stop this.

Almost 100 years ago, the local Council was given the land by local resident William Knowles, under the agreement that it would forever be reserved for recreational use. The Council have now entered into a process known as 'appropriation', with the aim to legally change the use of the land, and then to sell it to building developers, so that they can build flats for profit on the site. There are not enough GP surgery spaces and school places to support more flats being built in the area, let alone the increase in crime and anti-social behaviour that could follow.